

A class of Harmonic Univalent Functions with Varying Arguments Defined by Generalized Derivative Operator

Y. P. Yadav, N. D. Sangle

¹(Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Singhania University, Pachari Bari,
Dist-Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan-333515)

²(Department of Mathematics, Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering,
Ashta, Sangali, (M.S) India 416 301)

Abstract: In this paper, we have introduced a new class of complex valued harmonic functions which are orientation preserving and univalent in the open unit disc and are related to uniformly convex functions. Coefficient bounds, neighborhood and extreme points for the functions belonging to this class are obtained.

2000 AMS subject classification: Primary 30C45, Secondary 30C50, 30C55.

Key Words: Harmonic, derivative operator, univalent, Neighborhoods, extreme points.

I. INTRODUCTION

A continuous complex-valued function $f(z) = u + iv$ is defined in a simply-connected complex domain D is said to be harmonic in D if both u and v are real harmonic in D . Such functions can be expressed as

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} \quad (1.1)$$

where $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in D . We call $h(z)$ the analytic part and $g(z)$ the co-analytic part of $f(z)$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $f(z)$ to be locally univalent and sense preserving in D is that $|\overline{h'(z)}| > |g'(z)|$ for all z in D , Clunie and Shell-Smail [2]. Let H be the class of functions of the form (1.1) that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ for which $f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Then for $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} \in H$,

we may express the analytic functions $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ as

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad z \in U, \quad |b_1| < 1 \quad (1.2)$$

In 1984, Clunie and Sheil-Small [2] investigated the class S_H as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some coefficient bounds. Since then, there have been several related papers on S_H and its subclasses. Now we will introduce a generalized derivative operator for $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ given by (1.2). For fixed positive natural m and $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0$,

$$D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m,k} f(z) = D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m,k} h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m,k} g(z)}, \quad z \in U \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m,k} h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m a_k z^k$$

and

$$D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m,k} g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m b_k z^k.$$

We note that by specializing the parameters, especially when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, $D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m,k}$ reduces to D_m which introduced by Salagean in [6].

Now we will introduce the following definition.

Definition 1.1. For $0 \leq l < 1$, let $G_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ denote the subfamily of starlike harmonic functions $f(z) \in H$ of the form (1.1) such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(1 + e^{i\psi} \right) \frac{z \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} f(z) \right)' }{z' \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} f_t(z) \right)} - e^{i\psi} \right\} \geq l \quad \text{for } z \in U, \quad (1.4)$$

Where $f_t(z) = (1-t)z + t(h(z) + \overline{g(z)})$,

$$\left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} f(z) \right)' = \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} f(re^{i\theta}) \right), \frac{d}{d\theta} (z = re^{i\theta}).$$

We also let $V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = G_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cap V_H$ where V_H is the class of harmonic functions with varying arguments introduced by Jahangiri and Silverman [3] consisting of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) in H for which there exists a real number ϕ such that

$$\eta_k + (k-1)\phi = \pi \pmod{2\pi}, \quad \delta_k + (k-1)\phi = 0 \pmod{2\pi} \quad (k \geq 2), \quad (1.5)$$

where $\eta_k = \arg(a_k)$ and $\delta_k = \arg(b_k)$. The same class introduced in [4] with different differential operator.

In this paper, we obtain a sufficient coefficient condition for functions $f(z)$ given by (1.2) to be in the class $G_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. It is shown that this coefficient condition is necessary also for functions belonging to the class $V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. Further, extreme points for functions in $V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ are also obtained.

II. MAIN RESULT

We begin deriving a sufficient coefficient condition for the functions belonging to the class $G_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. This result is contained in the following.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ given by (1.2). Furthermore, let

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2k-t-lt}{1-l} |a_k| + \frac{2k+t+lt}{1-l} |b_k| \right) \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m \leq 1 - \frac{2+t+lt}{3-l} b_1 \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 \leq l < 1$, then $f \in G_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

Proof: We first show that if the inequality (2.1) holds for the coefficients of $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$, then the required condition (1.4) is satisfied. Using (1.3) and (1.4), we can write

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(1 + e^{i\psi} \right) \frac{z \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) \right)' - z \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z) \right)'}{(1-t)z + t \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z)} \right)} - e^{i\psi} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{A(z)}{B(z)} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$A(z) = \left(1 + e^{i\psi} \right) \left[z \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) \right)' - z \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z) \right)' \right] - e^{i\psi} \left[(1-t)z + t \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z)} \right) \right]$$

$$B(z) = (1-t)z + t \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z)} \right).$$

In view of the simple assertion that $\operatorname{Re}(w) \geq l$ if and only if $|1-l+w| \geq |1+l-w|$, it suffices to show that

$$|A(z) + (1-l)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1+l)B(z)| \geq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Substituting for $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ the appropriate expressions in (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(z) + (1-l)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1+l)B(z)| \\ & \geq 2(1-l)|z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (4k-2t-2lt) \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m |a_k| |z|^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (4k + 2t + 2lt) \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m |b_k| |z|^k - 4|b_1| - 2t|b_1| - 2lt|b_1| \\
 \geq & 2(1-l) \left\{ 1 - \frac{2+t+lt}{1-l} |b_1| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2k-t-lt}{1-l} \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m |a_k| \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + \frac{2k+t+lt}{1-l} \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m |b_k| \right) \right\} \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the inequality (2.1). This implies that $f(z) \in G_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

Now we obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for function $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ be given with condition (1.5).

Theorem 2.2. Let $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ be given by (2). Then $f(z) \in V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2k-t-lt}{1-l} |a_k| + \frac{2k+t+lt}{1-l} |b_k| \right] \left[\left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m \right] \leq 1 - \frac{2+t+lt}{3-l} |b_1| \quad (2.4) \text{ where}$$

$0 \leq l < 1$.

Proof. Since $V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \subset G_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, we only need to prove the necessary part of the theorem. Assume that $f(z) \in V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, then by virtue of (1.3) to (1.4), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(1 + e^{i\psi} \right) \frac{z \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) \right)' - \overline{z \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z) \right)'}}{(1-t)z + t \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z)} \right)} - (e^{i\psi} + l) \right\} \geq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

The above inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(1 + e^{i\psi} \right) \left[z \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) \right)' - \overline{z \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z) \right)' } \right] - (e^{i\psi} + l) \left[(1-t)z + t \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z)} \right) \right] \right\} \\
 & \quad \times \left((1-t)z + t \left(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} h(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{m, k} g(z)} \right) \right)^{-1} \\
 & = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-l)z + \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[k(1 + e^{i\psi}) - e^{i\psi}t - lt \right] \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m a_k z^k \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[k(1 + e^{i\psi}) + e^{i\psi}t + lt \right] \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m b_k z^{-k} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \left(z + t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m a_k z^k + t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m b_k z^{-k} \right)^{-1} \right\} \\
 & = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-l) + \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[k(1 + e^{i\psi}) - e^{i\psi}t - lt \right] \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m a_k z^{k-1} \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. -\frac{\bar{z}}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[k(1+e^{i\psi}) + e^{i\psi}t + lt \right] \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m b_k \bar{z}^{-k-1} \right) \\ \times \left(1+t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m a_k z^{k-1} + t \frac{\bar{z}}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m b_k \bar{z}^{-k-1} \right)^{-1} \Bigg\} \geq 0.$$

This condition must hold for all values of z , such that $|z|=r < 1$. Upon choosing ϕ according to (1.5) and noting that $\operatorname{Re}(-e^{i\psi}) \geq -|e^{i\psi}| = -1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$(1-l) + (2+t+lt)b_1 - \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[k(1+e^{i\psi}) - e^{i\psi}t - lt \right] \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m |a_k| r^{k-1} \right. \\ \left. + \left[k(1+e^{i\psi}) + e^{i\psi}t + lt \right] \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m |b_k| r^{k-1} \right) \\ \times \left(1+t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m |a_k| r^{k-1} + t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m |b_k| r^{k-1} \right)^{-1} \Bigg\} \geq 0. \quad (2.6)$$

If (2.4) does not hold, then the numerator in (2.6) is negative for r sufficiently close to 1. Therefore, there exists a point $z_0 = r_0$ in $(0,1)$ for which the quotient in (2.6) is negative. This contradicts our assumption that $f(z) \in V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. We thus conclude that it is both necessary and sufficient that the coefficient bound inequality (2.4) holds true when $f(z) \in V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. The closed convex hull of $f(z) \in V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ (denoted by $clcoV_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$) is

$$\left\{ f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k + \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| z^k} : \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[|a_k| + |b_k|] < 1 - b_1 \right\}$$

By setting

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1-l}{(2k-t-lt) \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m} \text{ and } \mu_k = \frac{1+l}{(2k+t+lt) \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m}, \text{ then}$$

for b_1 fixed, the extreme points for $clcoV_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ are

$$\{z + \lambda_k x z^k + \overline{b_1 z}\} \cup \{z + \overline{b_1 z} + \mu_k x z^k\} \quad (2.7)$$

where $k \geq 2$ and $|x| = 1 - |b_1|$.

Proof: Any function $f(z)$ in $clcoV_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ may be expressed as

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| e^{i\eta k} z^k + \overline{b_1 z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| e^{i\delta k} z^k$$

where the coefficients satisfy the inequality (2.1). Set $h_1(z) = z$, $g_1(z) = b_1z$, $h_k(z) = z + \lambda_k e^{i\eta k} z^k$, $g_k(z) = b_1z + \mu_k e^{i\delta k} z^k$ for $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$. Writing $\chi_k = \frac{|a_k|}{\lambda_k}$, $Y_k = \frac{|b_k|}{\mu_k}$, $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $\chi_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \chi_k$;

$Y_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} Y_k$, we get

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\chi_k h_k(z) + Y_k g_k(z)).$$

In particular, setting $f_1(z) = z + \overline{b_1}z$ and $f_k(z) = z + \lambda_k xz^k + \overline{b_1}z + \overline{\mu_k y}z^k$, ($k \geq 2, |x| + |y| = 1 - |b_1|$),

we see that extreme points of $clco f(z) \in V_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \subset \{f_k(z)\}$.

To see that $f_1(z)$ is not in extreme point, note that $f_1(z)$ may written as

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{2} \{f_1(z) + \lambda_2(1 - |b_1|)z^2\} + \frac{1}{2} \{f_1(z) - \lambda_2(1 - |b_1|)z^2\}$$

a convex linear combination of functions in $clcoV_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

To see that f_m is not an extreme point if both $|x| \neq 0$ and $|y| \neq 0$, we will show that it can then also be expressed as a convex linear combinations of functions in $clcoV_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. Without loss of generality, assume $|x| \geq |y|$.

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough so that $\epsilon > \left| \frac{x}{y} \right|$. Set $A = 1 + \epsilon$ and $B = 1 + \left| \frac{\epsilon x}{y} \right|$. We then see that both

$$t_1(z) = z + \lambda_k Axz^k + \overline{b_1}z + \overline{\mu_k y}Bz^k \text{ and}$$

$$t_2(z) = z + \lambda_k (2 - A)xz^k + \overline{b_1}z + \overline{\mu_k y}(2 - B)z^k \text{ are in } clcoV_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \text{ and that}$$

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \{t_1(z) + t_2(z)\}.$$

The extremal coefficient bounds show that functions of the form (12) are the extreme points for $clcoV_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, and so the proof is complete.

Following Avici and Zlotkiewicz [1] and Ruscheweyh [5], we refer to the δ -neighborhood of the functions $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) to be the set of functions F for which

$$N_\delta(f) = \left\{ F(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_k} z^k, \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k (|a_k - A_k| + |b_k - B_k| + |b_1 - B_1|) \leq \delta \right\} \quad (2.8)$$

In our case, let us define the generalized δ -neighborhood of $f(z)$ to be the set

$$N_\delta(f) = \left\{ F(z) : \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m [(2k - t - lt)|a_k - A_k| + (2k + t + lt)|b_k - B_k|] + (1-l)|b_1 - B_1| \leq (1-l)\delta \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $f(z)$ be given by (1.2). If $f(z)$ satisfies the conditions

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(2k - t - lt)|a_k| \left(\frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)} \right)^m$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(2k+t+lt)|b_k|\left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)}\right)^m \leq (1-l), \quad (2.10)$$

where $0 \leq l < 1$ and $\delta = \frac{1-l}{3-t-lt} \left(1 - \frac{2+t+lt}{1-l}|b_1|\right)$ (2.11)

then $N(f) \subset G_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

Proof. Let $f(z)$ satisfy (15) and $F(z)$ be given by

$$F(z) = z + \overline{B_1}z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (A_k z^k + \overline{B_k} z^k)$$

which belong to to $N(f)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (2+t+lt)|B_1| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ((2k-t-lt)|A_k| + (2k+t+lt)|B_k|) \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)}\right)^m \\ & \leq (2+t+lt)|B_1 - b_1| + (2+t+lt)|b_1| \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ((2k-t-lt)|A_k - a_k| + (2k+t+lt)|B_k - b_k|) \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)}\right)^m \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ((2k-t-lt)|a_k| + (2k+t+lt)|b_k|) \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)}\right)^m \\ & \leq (1-l)\delta + (2+t+lt)|b_1| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{3-l} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k((2k-t-lt)|a_k| + (2k+t+lt)|b_k|) \left(\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{\lambda_2(k-1)}\right)^m \\ & \leq (1-l)\delta + (2+t+lt)|b_1| + \frac{1}{3-l} [(1-l) - (2+t+lt)|b_1|] \leq 1-l. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $\delta = \frac{1-l}{3-l} \left(1 - \frac{2+t+lt}{1-l}|b_1|\right)$, we infer that $F(z) \in G_H(l, m, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ which concludes the proof Theorem 2.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Avici and E. Zlotkiewicz, On harmonic univalent mappings, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A* **44** (1990), 1-7.
- [2] J. Clunie and T. Shell-Smail, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math.*, **9**:(1984), 3-25.
- [3] J. M. Jahangiri and H. Silverman, Harmonic univalent functions with varying arguments, *Int. J. Appl. Math.* **8**(3):(2002), 267-275.
- [4] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya, and R. K. Raina, A subclass of harmonic functions with varying arguments defined by Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Archivum Mathematicum*. **45** (2009), 37-46.
- [5] S. Ruscheweyh. Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1981), 521-528.
- [6] G. S. Salagean, Subclass of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York., **1013**:(1983), 362-372.