International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)
www.ijmer.com Vol.2, Issue.4, July-Aug. 2012 pp-2242-2286 ISSN: 2249-6645

Some Contributions to Yang Mills Theory Fortification – Dissipation Models

[1]Dr. K. N. Prasanna Kumar, [2]Prof. B. S. Kiranagi, [3]Prof. C. S. Bagewadi

ABSTRACT: *We provide a series of Models for the problems that arise in Yang Mills Theory. No claim is made that the problem is solved. We do factorize the Yang Mills Theory and give a Model for the values of LHS and RHS of the yang Mills theory. We hope these forms the stepping stone for further factorizations and solutions to the subatomic denominations at Planck's scale. Work also throws light on some important factors like mass acquisition by symmetry breaking, relation between strong interaction and weak interaction, Lagrangian Invariance despite transformations, Gauge field, Noncommutative symmetry group of Gauge Theory and Yang Mills Theory itself..*

We take in to consideration the following parameters, processes and concepts:

(1) **Acquisition of mass**
(2) **Symmetry Breaking**
(3) **Strong interaction**
(4) **Unified Electroweak interaction**
(5) **Continuous group of local transformations**
(6) **Lagrangian Variance**
(7) **Group generator in Gauge Theory**
(8) **Vector field or Gauge field**
(9) **Non commutative symmetry group in Gauge Theory**
(10) **Yang Mills Theory (We repeat the same Bank's example. Individual debits and Credits are conservative so also the holistic one. Generalized theories are applied to various systems which are parameterized. And we live in 'measurement world'. Classification is done on the parameters of various systems to which the Theory is applied.).**
(11) **First Term of the Lagrangian of the Yang Mills Theory(LHS)**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm gf} = -\frac{1}{4}\,{\rm Tr}(F^2) = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu a}F^a_{\mu\nu}$$

(12) **RHS of the Yang Mills Theory**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm gf} = -\frac{1}{4}\,{\rm Tr}(F^2) = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu a}F^a_{\mu\nu}$$

SYMMETRY BREAKING AND ACQUISITION OF MASS:

MODULE NUMBERED ONE

NOTATION:

G_{13} : **CATEGORY ONE OF SYMMETRY BREAKING**
G_{14} : **CATEGORY TWO OF SYMMETRY BREAKING**
G_{15} : **CATEGORY THREE OF SYMMETRY BREAKING**
T_{13} : **CATEGORY ONE OF ACQUISITION OF MASS**
T_{14} : **CATEGORY TWO OF ACQUISITION OF MASS**
T_{15} :**CATEGORY THREE OF ACQUISITION OF MASS**

UNIFIED ELECTROWEAK INTERACTION AND STRONG INTERACTION:

MODULE NUMBERED TWO:

===

G_{16} : **CATEGORY ONE OF UNIFIED ELECTROWEAK INTERACTION**
G_{17} : **CATEGORY TWO OFUNIFIED ELECTROWEAK INTERACTION**
G_{18} : **CATEGORY THREE OFUNIFIED ELECTROWEAK IONTERACTION**
T_{16} :**CATEGORY ONE OF STRONG INTERACTION**
T_{17} : **CATEGORY TWO OF STRONG INTERACTION**
T_{18} : **CATEGORY THREE OF STRONG INTERACTION**

LAGRANGIAN INVARIANCE AND CONTINOUS GROUP OF LOCAL TRANSFORMATIONS:

MODULE NUMBERED THREE:

===

G_{20} : **CATEGORY ONE OF CONTINUOUS GROUP OF LOCAL TRANSFORMATIONS**

G_{21} :CATEGORY TWO OFCONTINUOUS GROUP OF LOCAL TRANSFORMATIONS
G_{22} : CATEGORY THREE OF CONTINUOUS GROUP OF LOCAL TRANSFORMATION
T_{20} : CATEGORY ONE OF LAGRANGIAN INVARIANCE
T_{21} :CATEGORY TWO OF LAGRANGIAN INVARIANCE
T_{22} : CATEGORY THREE OF LAGRANGIAN INVARIANCE

GROUP GENERATOR OF GAUGE THEORY AND VECTOR FIELD(GAUGE FIELD):
: MODULE NUMBERED FOUR:

==

G_{24} : CATEGORY ONE OF GROUP GENERATOR OF GAUGE THEORY
G_{25} : CATEGORY TWO OF GROUP GENERATOR OF GAUGE THEORY
G_{26} : CATEGORY THREE OF GROUP GENERATOR OF GAUGE THEORY
T_{24} :CATEGORY ONE OF VECTOR FIELD NAMELY GAUGE FIELD
T_{25} :CATEGORY TWO OF GAUGE FIELD
T_{26} : CATEGORY THREE OFGAUGE FIELD

YANG MILLS THEORYAND NON COMMUTATIVE SYMMETRY GROUP IN GAUGE THEORY:
MODULE NUMBERED FIVE:

==

G_{28} : CATEGORY ONE OF NON COMMUTATIVE SYMMETRY GROUP OF GAUGE THEORY
G_{29} : CATEGORY TWO OF NON COMMUTATIVE SYMMETRY GROUP OPF GAUGE THEORY
G_{30} :CATEGORY THREE OFNON COMMUTATIVE SYMMETRY GROUP OF GAUGE THEORY
T_{28} : CATEGORY ONE OFYANG MILLS THEORY (Theory is applied to various subatomic particle systems and the classification is done based on the parametricization of these systems. There is not a single system known which is not characterized by some properties)
T_{29} :CATEGORY TWO OF YANG MILLS THEORY
T_{30} :CATEGORY THREE OF YANG MILLS THEORY

LHS OF THE YANG MILLS THEORY AND RHS OF THE YANG MILLS THEORY.TAKEN TO THE OTHER SIDE THE LHS WOULD DISSIPATE THE RHS WITH OR WITHOUT TIME LAG :
MODULE NUMBERED SIX:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gf}} = -\frac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{Tr}(F^2) = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu a}F^a_{\mu\nu}$$

==

G_{32} : CATEGORY ONE OF LHS OF YANG MILLS THEORY
G_{33} : CATEGORY TWO OF LHS OF YANG MILLS THEORY
G_{34} : CATEGORY THREE OF LHS OF YANG MILLS THEORY
T_{32} : CATEGORY ONE OF RHS OF YANG MILLS THEORY
T_{33} : CATEGORY TWO OF RHS OF YANG MILLS THEORY
T_{34} : CATEGORY THREE OF RHS OF YANG MILLS THEORY (Theory applied to various characterized systems and the systemic characterizations form the basis for the formulation of the classification).

==

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}$ $(a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$ $(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
, $(a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$ $(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
are Dissipation coefficients.

SYMMETRY BREAKING AND ACQUISITION OF MASS:
MODULE NUMBERED ONE

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one).*1*

$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13}$ *.2*

$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14}$ *.3*

$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\left(a'_{15}\right)^{(1)} + \left(a''_{15}\right)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{15}$ *.4*
$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{13}$ *.5*
$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{14}$ *.6*
$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\left(b'_{15}\right)^{(1)} - \left(b''_{15}\right)^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{15}$ *.7*
$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) =$ First augmentation factor *.8*
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor.

UNIFIED ELECTROWEAK INTERACTION AND STRONG INTERACTION: MODULE NUMBERED TWO

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two).*9*
$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16}$ *.10*
$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17}$ *.11*
$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18}$ *.12*
$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{16}$ *.13*
$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{17}$ *.14*
$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{18}$ *.15*
$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor *.16*
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right) =$ First detritions factor *.17*

LAGRANGIAN INVARIANCE AND CONTINOUS GROUP OF LOCAL TRANSFORMATIONS: MODULE NUMBERED THREE

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three).*18*
$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20}$ *.19*
$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21}$ *.20*
$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22}$ *.21*
$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20}$ *.22*
$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21}$ *.23*
$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22}$ *.24*
$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor.
$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor *.25*

GROUP GENERATOR OF GAUGE THEORY AND VECTOR FIELD(GAUGE FIELD): : MODULE NUMBERED FOUR:

===

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four).*26*
$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24}$ *.27*
$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\left(a'_{25}\right)^{(4)} + \left(a''_{25}\right)^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25}$ *.28*
$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26}$ *.29*
$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24}$ *.30*
$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[\left(b'_{25}\right)^{(4)} - \left(b''_{25}\right)^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25}$ *.31*
$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26}$ *.32*
$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**.*33*
$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor** *.34*

YANG MILLS THEORYAND NON COMMUTATIVE SYMMETRY GROUP IN GAUGE THEORY: MODULE NUMBERED FIVE

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five).*35*
$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28}$ *.36*
$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29}$ *.37*

$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right] G_{30}$ *.38*

$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right] T_{28}$ *.39*

$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right] T_{29}$ *.40*

$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right] T_{30}$ *.41*

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor** *.42*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor** *.43*

LHS OF THE YANG MILLS THEORY AND RHS OF THE YANG MILLS THEORY.TAKEN TO THE OTHER SIDE THE LHS WOULD DISSIPATE THE RHS WITH OR WITHOUT TIME LAG :

MODULE NUMBERED SIX

:

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six).*44*

45

$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right] G_{32}$ *.46*

$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right] G_{33}$ *.47*

$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right] G_{34}$ *.48*

$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right] T_{32}$ *.49*

$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right] T_{33}$ *.50*

$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right] T_{34}$ *.51*

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor***.52*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor** *.53*

HOLISTIC CONCATENATE SYTEMAL EQUATIONS HENCEFORTH REFERRED TO AS "GLOBAL EQUATIONS"

We take in to consideration the following parameters, processes and concepts:

- **(1) Acquisition of mass**
- **(2) Symmetry Breaking**
- **(3) Strong interaction**
- **(4) Unified Electroweak interaction**
- **(5) Continuous group of local transformations**
- **(6) Lagrangian Variance**
- **(7) Group generator in Gauge Theory**
- **(8) Vector field or Gauge field**
- **(9) Non commutative symmetry group in Gauge Theory**
- **(10) Yang Mills Theory (We repeat the same Bank's example. Individual debits and Credits are conservative so also the holistic one. Generalized theories are applied to various systems which are parameterized. And we live in 'measurement world'. Classification is done on the parameters of various systems to which the Theory is applied.).**
- **(11) First Term of the Lagrangian of the Yang Mills Theory(LHS)**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm gf} = -\frac{1}{4}\,{\rm Tr}(F^2) = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu a} F^a_{\mu\nu}$$

- **(12) RHS of the Yang Mills Theory**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm gf} = -\frac{1}{4}\,{\rm Tr}(F^2) = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu a} F^a_{\mu\nu} \quad 54$$

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad .55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad .56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad .57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3.*58 59*

.60

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{13} \quad .61$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{14} \quad .62$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{15} \quad .63$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 *.64.65*

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{16} \quad .66$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{17} \quad .67$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{18} \quad .68$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3 *.69 70.71*

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{16} \quad .72$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{17} \quad .73$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{18} \quad .74$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detritions coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detritions coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detritions coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

.75

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{20} \quad .76$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{21} \quad .77$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{22} \quad .78$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 *.79 80*

.81

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{20} \quad .82$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{21} \quad .83$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{22} \quad .84$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detritions coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 .85*

.86

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) + (a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) + (a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ + (a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{matrix} \right] G_{24} \quad .87$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) + (a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) + (a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ + (a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{matrix} \right] G_{25} \quad .88$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) + (a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) + (a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ + (a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) + (a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \end{matrix} \right] G_{26} \quad .89$$

Where $(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3 *.90 91*

.92

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) - (b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) - (b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ - (b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) - (b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) - (b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \end{matrix} \right] T_{24} \quad .93$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) - (b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) - (b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ - (b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) - (b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) - (b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \end{matrix} \right] T_{25} \quad .94$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) - (b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) - (b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ - (b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) - (b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) - (b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \end{matrix} \right] T_{26} \quad .95$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3 *.96, 9798*

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad .99$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad .100$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad .101$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and*

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3 *.102*

.103

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad .104$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad .105$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad .106$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3*.107*

.108

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad .109$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad .110$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad .111$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients *.112*

.113

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{32} \quad .114$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{33} \quad .115$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{34} \quad .116$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

.117

118

Where we suppose.*119*

(A) $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0,$
$i, j = 13,14,15$

(B) The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}. \quad 120$$

121

(C) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}$ are positive constants and $i = 13,14,15$ *.122*

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a''_i)^{(1)}(T'_{14},t) - (a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T'_{14}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b''_i)^{(1)}(G',t) - (b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad .123$$

124

125

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T'_{14},t)$ and $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T'_{14},t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient WOULD be absolutely continuous. *.126*

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(D) $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1. \quad 127$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(E) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1 \; .128$$

129
130
131

132

Where we suppose.*134*

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18.$*135*

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded..*136*

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}$:.*137*

$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}$.*138*

$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$.*139*

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)}$.*140*

$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)}$.*141*

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$.*142*

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:.*143*

$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$.*144*

$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$.*145*

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) And (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the SECOND augmentation coefficient would be absolutely continuous. .*146*

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:.*147*

(F) $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1.148$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$ satisfy the inequalities .*149*

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \; .150$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \; .151$$

Where we suppose.*152*

(G) $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$

$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$.*153*

$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$

$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$.*154*

155

156

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$.*157*

158

159

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the THIRD augmentation coefficient, would be absolutely continuous. *.160*

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$:

(H) $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$*.161*

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i=20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)}+(a_i')^{(3)}+(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}]<1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)}+(b_i')^{(3)}+(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}]<1$ *.162*

163
164
165
166

167

Where we suppose*.168*

(I) $(a_i)^{(4)},(a_i')^{(4)},(a_i'')^{(4)},(b_i)^{(4)},(b_i')^{(4)},(b_i'')^{(4)}>0, \quad i,j=24,25,26$

(J) The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)},(b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) \le (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$*.169*

(K) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)=(p_i)^{(4)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)=(r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)},(p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}$ are positive constants and $i=24,25,26$*.170*

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25}-T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t)-(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27})-(G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *.171*

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) And (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}=4$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the FOURTH **augmentation coefficient WOULD** be absolutely continuous. *.172*

173

Defi174nition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$:

(L) $(\hat{M}_{24})176^{175(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

(M)

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ *.174*

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$:

(N) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)},(a_i')^{(4)},(b_i)^{(4)},(b_i')^{(4)},(p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i=24,25,26,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)}+(a_i')^{(4)}+(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)}+(b_i')^{(4)}+(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$ *.175*

Where we suppose*.176*

(O) $(a_i)^{(5)},(a_i')^{(5)},(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i)^{(5)},(b_i')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}>0, \quad i,j=28,29,30$

(P) The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)},(b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$

$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$.*177*

(Q) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)},(p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}$ are positive constants and $i = 28,29,30$.*178*

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$.*179*

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 5$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, theFIFTH **augmentation coefficient** attributable would be absolutely continuous. .*180*

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$:

(R) $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$.*181*

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$:

(S) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)},(a_i')^{(5)},(b_i)^{(5)},(b_i')^{(5)},(p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$.*182*

Where we suppose.*183*

$(a_i)^{(6)},(a_i')^{(6)},(a_i'')^{(6)},(b_i)^{(6)},(b_i')^{(6)},(b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34$

(T) The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)},(b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$

$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$.*184*

(U) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)},(p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}$ are positive constants and $i = 32,33,34$.*185*

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$.*186*

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 6$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the SIXTH **augmentation coefficient** would be absolutely continuous. .*187*

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$.*188*

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)},(a_i')^{(6)},(b_i)^{(6)},(b_i')^{(6)},(p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$

satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$.*189*

.190

Theorem 1: if the conditions IN THE FOREGOING above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions

Definition of $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$ *.191*

.192

Definition of $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$ *.193*

.194

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$ *.195*

Definition of $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$ *.196*

Definition of $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$ *.197*

198

Definition of $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$ *.199*

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\,T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

.200

$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\,T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\,G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,,T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)},$ *.201*

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *.202*

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *.203*

By

$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$ *.204*

$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$ *.205*

$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$ *.206*

$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$ *.207*

$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$ *.208*

$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$.*209*

.210

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\,T_i\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

.211

$G_i(0) = G_i^0\,,\,T_i(0) = T_i^0\,,\,G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,,T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$ *.212*

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ *.213*

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ *.214*

By

$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$ *.215*

$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$ *.216*

$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$ *.217*

$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$ *.218*

$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$ *.219*

$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b_{18}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{18}^{''})^{(2)}(G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$.*220*

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy *.221*

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$, *.222*

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *.223*

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *.224*

By

$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} + a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *.225*

$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *.226*

$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a_{22}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *.227*

$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *.228*

$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *.229*

$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b_{22}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$.*230*

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy
.231

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$, *.232*

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *.233*

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *.234*

By

$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$ *.235*

$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$ *.236*

$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a_{26}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$ *.237*

$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b_{24}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$ *.238*

$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$ *.239*

$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b_{26}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$.*240*

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy
.241

242

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$, *.243*

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *.244*

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *.245*

By

$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} + a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *.246*

$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *.247*

$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a_{30}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *.248*

$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b_{28}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *.249*

$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *.250*

$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b_{30}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$.*251*

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy *.252*

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$, *.253*

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *.254*

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *.255*

By

$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$ .256

$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$ .257

$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$ .258

$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$ .259

$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$ .260

$\bar{T}_{34}(t) = T_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$.261

262

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$G_{13}(t) \leq G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$ .263

From which it follows that

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1.264

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$.265

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that.266

$G_{16}(t) \leq G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$
.267

From which it follows that

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$ .268

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$.269

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$G_{20}(t) \leq G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$ .270

From which it follows that

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$ .271

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$.272

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$ .273

From which it follows that

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1.274

(c) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$ .275

From which it follows that

$$(G_{28}(t) - G_{28}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0}{G_{29}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1.276

(d) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} \left(G_{33}^0 + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \right) \right] ds_{(32)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{32})^{(6)} t\right) G_{33}^0 + \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} - 1 \right)$ .277

From which it follows that

$$(G_{32}(t) - G_{32}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_{33}^0}{G_{33}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$ .278

279

.280

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have.281

282

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0 \right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0} \right)} \right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad .283$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0 \right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0} \right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad .284$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself.285

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left((G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}), (G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}) \right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{ \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left| G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t) \right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left| T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t) \right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \} \quad .286$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$:

$$(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$$

It results

$$\left| \tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)} \right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left| G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{ (a_{13}')^{(1)} \left| G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$
$$(a_{13}'')^{(1)} \left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)} \right) \left| G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} +$$
$$G_{13}^{(2)} | (a_{13}'')^{(1)} \left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)} \right) - (a_{13}'')^{(1)} \left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)} \right) | \; e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, \mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows.287

$$\left| G^{(1)} - G^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \leq \frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)} \right) d\left((G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}) \right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows.288

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{13}'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_{13}'')^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on G (*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition..289

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{ (a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \} ds_{(13)} \right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t \right)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0 .290$$

291

Definition of $\left((\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_1$, *and* $\left((\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} \right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a_{14}^{'})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a_{15}^{'})^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.*.292*

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below.*.293*

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i^{''})^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i^{''})^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$ *.294*

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}^{''})^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}^{'})^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions *.295*

.296

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have*.297*

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad .298$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad .299$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying *.300*

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\} \quad .301$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$*.302*

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$$(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)} \quad .303$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows*.304*

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right) \quad .305$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows*.306*

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(2)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.*.307*

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i^{'})^{(2)}-(a_i^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}),s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i^{'})^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$*.308*

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if
$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a'_{17})^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating
$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G^0_{17} + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a'_{17})^{(2)}$
In the same way , one can obtain
$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G^0_{18} + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a'_{18})^{(2)}$
If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively..*309*

310
Remark 4: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below..*311*

Remark 5: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t)) = (b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :
Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$
$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_i)^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$.*312*
Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to
$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T^0_{17} e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results .*313*
$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t),t\right) = (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions .*314*
.*315*
It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have.*316*

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G^0_j\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G^0_j}{G^0_j}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad .317$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T^0_j\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T^0_j}{T^0_j}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad .318$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself.*319*
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G^{(1)}_i(t) - G^{(2)}_i(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T^{(1)}_i(t) - T^{(2)}_i(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\} \quad .320$$

Indeed if we denote
Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}),(T_{23})\right)$.*321*
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}^{(1)}_{20} - \tilde{G}^{(2)}_i\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G^{(1)}_{21} - G^{(2)}_{21}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G^{(1)}_{20} - G^{(2)}_{20}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$
$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T^{(1)}_{21}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G^{(1)}_{20} - G^{(2)}_{20}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$
$$G^{(2)}_{20}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T^{(1)}_{21}, s_{(20)}\right) - (a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T^{(2)}_{21}, s_{(20)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$
From the hypotheses it follows.*322*

323

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows.*324*

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition..*325*

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)} t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$.*326*

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively..*327*

Remark 4: If $G_{20}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below..*328*

Remark 5: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)} \quad .329$$

330

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions .*331*

.332

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have.*333*

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + ((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad .334$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad .335$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying IN to itself.*336*

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$d\left(\left((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)}\right), \left((G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$

$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})}, \widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})}, \widetilde{(T_{27})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}), (T_{27}))$

It results

$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)} - G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} ds_{(24)} +$

$\int_0^t \{(a_{24}')^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$

$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$

$G_{24}^{(2)} |(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)| \ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows.*337*

338

$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq$

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\,(G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows.*339*

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(4)}, i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition..*340*

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0$ *and* $T_i\,(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i^{'})^{(4)}-(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$

$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i^{'})^{(4)}t\right)}>0$ for t > 0.*341*

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24}<(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1-(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$G_{25}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2=G_{25}^0+2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{26}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3=G_{26}^0+2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}^{'})^{(4)}$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively..*342*

Remark 4: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below..*343*

Remark 5: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i^{''})^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t))=(b_{25}^{'})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\to\infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t>t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)}-(b_i^{''})^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)<\varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t)>(m)^{(4)}$.*344*

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}\geq(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}-\varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to

$T_{25}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_4 t})+T_{25}^0e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right)=(b_{26}^{'})^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions ANALOGOUS inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$.*345*

.346

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}<1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

.347

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\ .348$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ .349$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself.*350*

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)=$

$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$

$\int_0^t \{(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} \left| G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$
$(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)} (T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}) \left| G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$
$G_{28}^{(2)} |(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)} (T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}) - (a_{28}^{''})^{(5)} (T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)})| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$
Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$
From the hypotheses it follows.*351*

352
$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)} \right) d \left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}; \; (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)} \right) \right)$
And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (35,35,36) the result follows.*353*
Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}$ and $(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.
If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31}) (and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition..*354*
Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$
From GLOBAL EQUATIONS it results
$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i^{'})^{(5)} - (a_i^{''})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \} ds_{(28)} \right]} \geq 0$
$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i^{'})^{(5)} t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$.*355*
Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$:
Remark 3: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29} \; and \; G_{30}$. indeed if
$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating
$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)} \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}$
In the same way , one can obtain
$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)} \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}^{'})^{(5)}$
If $G_{29} \; or \; G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively..*356*
Remark 4: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29} \; and \; G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below..*357*
Remark 5: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty} ((b_i^{''})^{(5)} ((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}^{'})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$.
Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :
Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i^{''})^{(5)} ((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$.*358*

359
Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)} (m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to
$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)} (m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5} \right) (1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results
$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)} (m)^{(5)}}{2} \right), \quad t = log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty} (b_{30}^{''})^{(5)} \left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}^{'})^{(5)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions
Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$.*360*
.361
It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have.*362*

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + ((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0} \right)} \right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$$.*363*

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left[((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0) e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0} \right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$$.*364*

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself.*365*
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$d\Big(\big((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}\big),\big((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)}\big)\Big) =$

$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\big(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\big)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),(T_{35})\big)$

It results

$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \le \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)} +$

$\int_0^t\{(a_{32}')^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$

$(a_{32}'')^{(6)}\big(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\big)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$

$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a_{32}'')^{(6)}\big(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\big)-(a_{32}'')^{(6)}\big(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\big)\right|\; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows.*366*

367

$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \le$

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\big((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a_{32}')^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\big)d\Big(\big((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\;(G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)}\big)\Big)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows.*368*

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{32}'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_{32}'')^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32{,}33{,}34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(\textit{and not on } t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition..*369*

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$

From 69 to 32 it results

$G_i(t) \ge G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(6)}-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}),s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]} \ge 0$

$T_i(t) \ge T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)}t)} > 0$ for $\mathrm{t}>0$.*370*

Definition of $\big((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big)_1,\big((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big)_2$ *and* $\big((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \le \big((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)}G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \le \big((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\big((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big)_1/(a_{33}')^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \le \big((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\big((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\big)_2/(a_{34}')^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively..*371*

Remark 4: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below..*372*

Remark 5: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}-(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35})(t),t\big) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}.\textit{373}$$

374

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \ge (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to

$T_{33} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right),\quad t=\log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\big) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions .*375*

376

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)},(\sigma_2)^{(1)},(\tau_1)^{(1)},(\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(1)},(\sigma_2)^{(1)},(\tau_1)^{(1)},(\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(G, t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$ *.377*

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ *.378*

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ *.379*

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(b) If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ *.380*

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined respectively. *381*

382

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{13}(t) \leq G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{14}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$ *.383*

$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \leq G_{15}(t) \leq \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a_{15}^{'})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a_{15}^{'})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a_{15}^{'})^{(1)}t}\right)$ *.384*

$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}}$ *.385*

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}$ *.386*

$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b_{15}^{'})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b_{15}^{'})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b_{15}^{'})^{(1)}t} \leq T_{15}(t) \leq$

$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$

.387

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b_{15}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$ *.388*

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define.*389*

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$:

$\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying.*390*

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \leq -(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ *.391*

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \leq -(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) - (b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ *.392*

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$:*.393*

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots.*394*

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ *.395*

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and.*396*

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$:*.397*

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the.*398*

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$.*399* and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ .400

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:-.401

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by.402

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_0)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ .403

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(2)}<(\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)}=\frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$.404

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\nu_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}<(\nu_0)^{(2)}$ .405

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(2)}=(u_0)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)}=(u_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)}<(u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)}=(u_1)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)}=(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)}<(u_0)^{(2)}<(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)}=\frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$.406

$(\mu_2)^{(2)}=(u_1)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)}=(u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}<(u_0)^{(2)}$ .407

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$G_{16}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)t}\le G_{16}(t)\le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$.408

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined.409

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}}G_{16}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)t}\le G_{17}(t)\le\frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}}G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ .410

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}\left((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)}-(S_2)^{(2)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right]+G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\le G_{18}(t)\le\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}\left((S_1)^{(2)}-(a_{18}')^{(2)}\right)}[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}-\right.$
$\left.e^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}t}]+G_{18}^0 e^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}t}\right)$ .411

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t}\le T_{16}(t)\le T_{16}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)t}}$ .412

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}}T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t}\le T_{16}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}}T_{16}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)t}$ .413

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}\left((R_1)^{(2)}-(b_{18}')^{(2)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t}-e^{-(b_{18}')^{(2)}t}\right]+T_{18}^0 e^{-(b_{18}')^{(2)}t}\le T_{18}(t)\le$
$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}\left((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}+(R_2)^{(2)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right]+T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$ .414

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)},(S_2)^{(2)},(R_1)^{(2)},(R_2)^{(2)}$:-.415

Where $(S_1)^{(2)}=(a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)}-(a_{16}')^{(2)}$

$(S_2)^{(2)}=(a_{18})^{(2)}-(p_{18})^{(2)}$ .416

$(R_1)^{(2)}=(b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b_{16}')^{(2)}$

$(R_2)^{(2)}=(b_{18}')^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}$.417

.418

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)},(\sigma_2)^{(3)},(\tau_1)^{(3)},(\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(3)},(\sigma_2)^{(3)},(\tau_1)^{(3)},(\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)}\le-(a_{20}')^{(3)}+(a_{21}')^{(3)}-(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)+(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(3)}\le-(b_{20}')^{(3)}+(b_{21}')^{(3)}-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G,t)-(b_{21}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23}),t\right)\le-(\tau_1)^{(3)}$ .419

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)},(\nu_2)^{(3)},(u_1)^{(3)},(u_2)^{(3)}$:

(b) By $(\nu_1)^{(3)}>0,(\nu_2)^{(3)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)}>0,(u_2)^{(3)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}=0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)}-(b_{20})^{(3)}=0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}>0,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)}<0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}=0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)}-(b_{20})^{(3)}=0$ .420

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)},(m_2)^{(3)},(\mu_1)^{(3)},(\mu_2)^{(3)}$:-

(c) If we define $(m_1)^{(3)},(m_2)^{(3)},(\mu_1)^{(3)},(\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)}=(\nu_0)^{(3)},(m_1)^{(3)}=(\nu_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(3)}<(\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)}=(\nu_1)^{(3)},(m_1)^{(3)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)},\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\nu_0)^{(3)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)}=(\nu_1)^{(3)},(m_1)^{(3)}=(\nu_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}<(\nu_0)^{(3)}$ .421

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(3)}=(u_0)^{(3)},(\mu_1)^{(3)}=(u_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)}<(u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t} \leq G_{20}(t) \leq G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined *.422*

423

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t} \leq G_{21}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$ *.424*

$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)}-(S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \leq G_{22}(t) \leq \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a_{22}')^{(3)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a_{22}')^{(3)}t}] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a_{22}')^{(3)}t}\right)$ *.425*

$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \leq T_{20}(t) \leq T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}}$ *.426*

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \leq T_{20}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}$ *.427*

$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b_{22}')^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b_{22}')^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b_{22}')^{(3)}t} \leq T_{22}(t) \leq$

$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$ *.428*

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a_{20}')^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b_{20}')^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$.*429*

.430

.431

Behavior of the solutions 432

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

(d) $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \leq -(a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{25}')^{(4)} - (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \leq -(b_{24}')^{(4)} + (b_{25}')^{(4)} - (b_{24}'')^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) - (b_{25}'')^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 433

(e) By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0, (u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations

$(a_{25})^{(4)}(\nu^{(4)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}(u^{(4)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 434

435

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}(\nu^{(4)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}(u^{(4)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ 436

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously 437

438

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \; \boldsymbol{if} \; (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} \; , \boldsymbol{if} \; (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if} \; (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$ are defined by 59 and 64 respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 439

440

$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$ 441

442

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined 443

444

445

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{25}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$ 446

447

$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \leq G_{26}(t) \leq \right.$ 448

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$ 449

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}$ 450

$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b_{26}')^{(4)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b_{26}')^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b_{26}')^{(4)} t} \leq T_{26}(t) \leq$ 451

$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 452

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)} (m_2)^{(4)} - (a_{24}')^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)} (\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b_{24}')^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$ 453

Behavior of the solutions 454

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

(g) $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \leq -(a_{28}')^{(5)} + (a_{29}')^{(5)} - (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \leq -(b_{28}')^{(5)} + (b_{29}')^{(5)} - (b_{28}'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b_{29}'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 455

(h) By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0\,,(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0\,,(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 456

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0\,,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0\,,(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}\,,(m_2)^{(5)}\,,(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(i) If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}\,,(m_2)^{(5)}\,,(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}\,,\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 457

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}\,,\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)},\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$
are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 458

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$ 459

460

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le\right.$$ 461

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}}$$ 462

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}$$ 463

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b_{30}')^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b_{30}')^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b_{30}')^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le$$ 464

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 465

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a_{28}')^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions 466

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

(j) $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 467

(k) By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0, (u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 468

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

(l) If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ 470

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 471

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$
are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 472

$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined
$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$ 473

$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{32}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)}-(S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)}-(p_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+G_{34}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\leq G_{34}(t)\leq\right.$ 474
(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}\leq T_{32}(t)\leq T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}$$ 475

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}\leq T_{32}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}$$ 476

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}-e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\leq T_{34}(t)\leq$$ 477

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)},(S_2)^{(6)},(R_1)^{(6)},(R_2)^{(6)}$:- 478

Where $(S_1)^{(6)}=(a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)}-(a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)}=(a_{34})^{(6)}-(p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)}=(b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)}-(b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)}=(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}$

479

Proof : From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain 480

$$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt}=(a_{13})^{(1)}-\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}-(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right)-(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\nu^{(1)}-(a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$$

481

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\nu^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)\leq\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}-(a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(a) For $0<(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}<(\nu_1)^{(1)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$\nu^{(1)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad (C)^{(1)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)}\leq\nu^{(1)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 482

$$\nu^{(1)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad(\bar{C})^{(1)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)}\leq\nu^{(1)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

(b) If $0<(\nu_1)^{(1)}<(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 483

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(1)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

(c) If $0<(\nu_1)^{(1)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}\leq(\nu_0)^{(1)}=\frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}$, we obtain 484

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)}\leq\nu^{(1)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$ 485

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL E486QUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

486

we obtain 487

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 488

It follows 489

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 490

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

(d) For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 491

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 492

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 493

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

(f) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 494

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 495

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 496

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

. 497

Particular case : 498

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

499

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain 500

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 501

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)} \left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} \right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)} \left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} \right)$$

502

From which one obtains

(a) For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 503

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 504

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

(c) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 505

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right) t \right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

506

: From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain 507

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

508

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)}=\frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}\right)\leq\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},(\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(d) For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)}=\frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(4)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)}\leq\nu^{(4)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 509

$$\nu^{(4)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)}\leq\nu^{(4)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

(e) If $0<(\nu_1)^{(4)}<(\nu_0)^{(4)}=\frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 510

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(4)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

511

512

(f) If $0<(\nu_1)^{(4)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}\leq\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)}=\frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)}\leq\nu^{(4)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(4)}\leq\nu^{(4)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(4)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t)=\frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(4)}\leq u^{(4)}(t)\leq(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $\boxed{u^{(4)}(t)=\frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)}=(a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)}=(\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)}=(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t)=(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t)=(\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** . 513

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)}=(b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)}=(\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

514

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain 515

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(g) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 516

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

(h) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 517

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)}-(\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

(i) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 518

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

519

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

520

we obtain 521

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

(j) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 522

523

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

(k) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 524

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

(l) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 525

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

526

527 527

We can prove the following 528

Theorem 3: If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined, then the system 529

If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 530.

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 531

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 532

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 533

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 534

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a''_i)^{(3)} and\ (b''_i)^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 535

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a''_i)^{(4)} and\ (b''_i)^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 536

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a''_i)^{(5)} and\ (b''_i)^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 537

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined satisfied , then the system

If $(a''_i)^{(6)} and\ (b''_i)^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 538

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$, 539
$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$
$with\ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system
$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 540
$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 541
$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 542
$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 543
$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 544
$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 545
has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 546
$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 547
$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 548
$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 549
$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 550
$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 551
$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 552
has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for 553
$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 554
$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 555
$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 556
$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 557
$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0$ 558
$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0$ 559
has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution 560
$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0$ 561

$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0$ 563

$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0$ 564

$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\ ]T_{24} = 0$ 565

$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\ ]T_{25} = 0$ 566

$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\ ]T_{26} = 0$ 567

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 568

$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0$ 569

$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0$ 570

$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0$ 571

$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\ ]T_{28} = 0$ 572

$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\ ]T_{29} = 0$ 573

$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\ ]T_{30} = 0$ 574

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 575

$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0$ 576

$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0$ 577

$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0$ 578

$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0$ 579

$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0$ 580

$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0$ 584

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 582

583
584

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) =$
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) +$
$(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

585

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) =$ 586
$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) +$
$(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

587

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) =$
$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) +$
$(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

588

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) =$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) +$
$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

589

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) =$
$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) +$
$(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

560

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) =$
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) +$
$(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 561

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 562

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 563

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 564

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{21}^* for which $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a_{20}')^{(3)}+(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$, $G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a_{22}')^{(3)}+(a_{22}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$ 565

Definition and uniqueness of T_{25}^* :- 566

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{25}^* for which $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a_{24}')^{(4)}+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$, $G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a_{26}')^{(4)}+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{29}^* :- 567

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{29}^* for which $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a_{28}')^{(5)}+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$, $G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a_{30}')^{(5)}+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 568

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a_{32}')^{(6)}+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$, $G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a_{34}')^{(6)}+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$

(e) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 569

$\varphi(G) = (b_{13}')^{(1)}(b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$
$[(b_{13}')^{(1)}(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G) + (b_{14}')^{(1)}(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G)]+(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G)(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G) = 0$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

(f) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 570

$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b_{16}')^{(2)}(b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$
$[(b_{16}')^{(2)}(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b_{17}')^{(2)}(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19})]+(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19})(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a 571
decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

(g) By the same argument, the concatenated equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 572

$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b_{20}')^{(3)}(b_{21}')^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$
$[(b_{20}')^{(3)}(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b_{21}')^{(3)}(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23})]+(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23})(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a 573
unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

(h) By the same argument, the equations of modules admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 574

$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b_{24}')^{(4)}(b_{25}')^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$
$[(b_{24}')^{(4)}(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b_{25}')^{(4)}(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27})]+(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27})(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^* such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

(i) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 575

$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b_{28}')^{(5)}(b_{29}')^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$
$[(b_{28}')^{(5)}(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b_{29}')^{(5)}(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31})]+(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31})(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^* such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

(j) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 578

579

$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b_{32}')^{(6)}(b_{33}')^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$ 580
$[(b_{32}')^{(6)}(b_{33}'')^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b_{33}')^{(6)}(b_{32}'')^{(6)}(G_{35})]+(b_{32}'')^{(6)}(G_{35})(b_{33}'')^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$ 581

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0 , \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^*

such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 94 582

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$, $G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a_{15}')^{(1)} + (a_{15}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$

$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b_{13}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G^*)]}$, $T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b_{15}')^{(1)} - (b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G^*)]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 583

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 584

$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]}$, $G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a_{18}')^{(2)} + (a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]}$ 585

$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b_{16}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$, $T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b_{18}')^{(2)} - (b_{18}'')^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$ 586

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution 587

Finally we obtain the unique solution 588

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a_{20}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$, $G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a_{22}')^{(3)} + (a_{22}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$

$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b_{20}')^{(3)} - (b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$, $T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b_{22}')^{(3)} - (b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 589

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a_{24}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$, $G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a_{26}')^{(4)} + (a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$

$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b_{24}')^{(4)} - (b_{24}'')^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]}$, $T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b_{26}')^{(4)} - (b_{26}'')^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]}$ 590

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 591

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a_{28}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$, $G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a_{30}')^{(5)} + (a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$

$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b_{28}')^{(5)} - (b_{28}'')^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]}$, $T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b_{30}')^{(5)} - (b_{30}'')^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]}$ 592

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 593

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a_{32}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$, $G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a_{34}')^{(6)} + (a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$

$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b_{32}')^{(6)} - (b_{32}'')^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]}$, $T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b_{34}')^{(6)} - (b_{34}'')^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]}$ 594

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 595

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof:_Denote

<u>Definition of</u> $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i$, $T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$ 596

$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)}$, $\frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 597

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14}$ 598

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14}$ 599

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14}$ 600

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 601

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 602

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 603

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable 604

Denote 605

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$ 606

$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}} (T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j} ((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij}$ 607

taking into account equations (global)and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 608

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 609

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 610

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 611

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 612

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 613

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 614

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl 615

_Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}} (T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j} ((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$

616

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 617

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 618

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 619

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 6120

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 621

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 622

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 623

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl 624

_Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 625

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}} (T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j} ((G_{27})^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 626

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25}$ 627

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25}$ 628

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25}$ 629

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 630

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 631

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 632

633

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 634

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}} (T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j} ((G_{31})^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 635

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29}$ 636

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29}$ 637

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29}$ 638

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b_{28}^{'})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 639

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 640

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b_{30}^{'})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 641

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i^{''})^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable 642

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 643

$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i^{''})^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations(global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 644

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}^{'})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33}$ 645

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33}$ 646

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}^{'})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33}$ 647

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}^{'})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 648

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 649

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}^{'})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 650

651

The characteristic equation of this system is 652

$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$

$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\right)\right]$ 653

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T_{14}^{*} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T_{14}^{*}\right)$

$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\right)$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T_{14}^{*} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T_{13}^{*}\right)$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$

$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$

$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\right)$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T_{14}^{*} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T_{13}^{*}\right)\} = 0$

+

$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{18}^{'})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{18}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$

$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^{*}\right)\right]$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}^{'})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}T_{17}^{*} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}T_{17}^{*}\right)$

$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^{*} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}\right)$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}^{'})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}T_{17}^{*} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}T_{16}^{*}\right)$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$

$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}$

$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^{*}\right)$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}^{'})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}T_{17}^{*} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}T_{16}^{*}\right)\} = 0$

+

$\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b_{22}^{'})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{22}^{'})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$

$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*} + (a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}\right)\right]$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T_{21}^{*} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T_{21}^{*}\right)$

$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G_{21}^{*}\right)$

$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T_{21}^{*} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T_{20}^{*}\right)$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right) (\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)} + (r_{21})^{(3)}\right) (\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right) (\lambda)^{(3)}\right) (q_{22})^{(3)} G_{22}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right) \left((a_{22})^{(3)} (q_{21})^{(3)} G^*_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)} (a_{22})^{(3)} (q_{20})^{(3)} G^*_{20}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right) s_{(21),(22)} T^*_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)} s_{(20),(22)} T^*_{20}\right)\} = 0$$
$+$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)} G^*_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)} (q_{24})^{(4)} G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right) s_{(25),(25)} T^*_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)} s_{(24),(25)} T^*_{25}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)} G^*_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)} (q_{25})^{(4)} G^*_{25}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right) s_{(25),(24)} T^*_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)} s_{(24),(24)} T^*_{24}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right) (\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)} + (r_{25})^{(4)}\right) (\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right) (\lambda)^{(4)}\right) (q_{26})^{(4)} G_{26}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right) \left((a_{26})^{(4)} (q_{25})^{(4)} G^*_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)} (a_{26})^{(4)} (q_{24})^{(4)} G^*_{24}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right) s_{(25),(26)} T^*_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)} s_{(24),(26)} T^*_{24}\right)\} = 0$$
$+$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)} G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)} (q_{28})^{(5)} G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right) s_{(29),(29)} T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)} s_{(28),(29)} T^*_{29}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)} G^*_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)} (q_{29})^{(5)} G^*_{29}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right) s_{(29),(28)} T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)} s_{(28),(28)} T^*_{28}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right) (\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)} + (r_{29})^{(5)}\right) (\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right) (\lambda)^{(5)}\right) (q_{30})^{(5)} G_{30}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right) \left((a_{30})^{(5)} (q_{29})^{(5)} G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)} (a_{30})^{(5)} (q_{28})^{(5)} G^*_{28}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right) s_{(29),(30)} T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)} s_{(28),(30)} T^*_{28}\right)\} = 0$$
$+$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)} G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)} (q_{32})^{(6)} G^*_{32}\right)\right]$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right) s_{(33),(33)} T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)} s_{(32),(33)} T^*_{33}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)} G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)} (q_{33})^{(6)} G^*_{33}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right) s_{(33),(32)} T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)} s_{(32),(32)} T^*_{32}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right) (\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right) (\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right) (\lambda)^{(6)}\right) (q_{34})^{(6)} G_{34}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right) \left((a_{34})^{(6)} (q_{33})^{(6)} G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)} (a_{34})^{(6)} (q_{32})^{(6)} G^*_{32}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right) s_{(33),(34)} T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)} s_{(32),(34)} T^*_{32}\right)\} = 0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Acknowledgments:

The introduction is a collection of information from various articles, Books, News Paper reports, Home Pages Of authors, Journal Reviews, Nature 's L:etters,Article Abstracts, Research papers, Abstracts Of Research Papers, Stanford Encyclopedia, Web Pages, Ask a Physicist Column, Deliberations with Professors, the internet including Wikipedia. We acknowledge all authors who have contributed to the

same. In the eventuality of the fact that there has been any act of omission on the part of the authors, we regret with great deal of compunction, contrition, regret, trepidiation and remorse. As Newton said, it is only because erudite and eminent people allowed one to piggy ride on their backs; probably an attempt has been made to look slightly further. Once again, it is stated that the references are only illustrative and not comprehensive

REFERENCES

1. Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, Prof B S Kiranagi, Prof C S Bagewadi - MEASUREMENT DISTURBS EXPLANATION OF QUANTUM MECHANICAL STATES-A HIDDEN VARIABLE THEORY - published at: "International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2012 Edition".
2. DR K N PRASANNA KUMAR, PROF B S KIRANAGI and PROF C S BAGEWADI -CLASSIC 2 FLAVOUR COLOR SUPERCONDUCTIVITY AND ORDINARY NUCLEAR MATTER-A NEW PARADIGM STATEMENT - published at: "International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2012 Edition".
3. A HAIMOVICI: "On the growth of a two species ecological system divided on age groups". Tensor, Vol 37 (1982),Commemoration volume dedicated to Professor Akitsugu Kawaguchi on his 80th birthday.
4. FRTJOF CAPRA: "The web of life" Flamingo, Harper Collins See "Dissipative structures" pages 172-188 HEYLIGHEN F. (2001): "The Science of Self-organization and Adaptivity", in L. D. Kiel, (ed) . Knowledge Management, Organizational Intelligence and Learning, and Complexity, in: The Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems ((EOLSS), (Eolss Publishers, Oxford) [http://www.eolss.net.
5. MATSUI, T, H. Masunaga, S. M. Kreidenweis, R. A. Pielke Sr., W.-K. Tao, M. Chin, and Y. J Kaufman (2006), "Satellite-based assessment of marine low cloud variability associated with aerosol, atmospheric stability, and the diurnal cycle", J. Geophys. Res., 111, D17204, doi:10.1029/2005JD006097.
6. STEVENS, B, G. Feingold, W.R. Cotton and R.L. Walko, "Elements of the microphysical structure of numerically simulated nonprecipitating stratocumulus" J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 980-1006.
7. FEINGOLD, G, Koren, I; Wang, HL; Xue, HW; Brewer, WA (2010), "Precipitation-generated oscillations in open cellular cloud fields" Nature, 466 (7308) 849-852, doi: 10.1038/nature09314, Published 12-Aug 2010.
8. a b c Einstein, A. (1905), "Ist die Trägheit eines Körpers von seinem Energieinhalt abhängig?", Annalen der Physik 18: 639 Bibcode 1905AnP...323..639E,DOI:10.1002/andp.19053231314. See also the English translation.
9. a b Paul Allen Tipler, Ralph A. Llewellyn (2003-01), Modern Physics, W. H. Freeman and Company, pp. 87–88, ISBN 0-7167-4345-0
10. a b Rainville, S. et al. World Year of Physics: A direct test of E=mc2. Nature 438, 1096-1097 (22 December 2005) | doi: 10.1038/4381096a; Published online 21 December 2005.
11. n F. Fernflores. The Equivalence of Mass and Energy. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy
12. Note that the relativistic mass, in contrast to the rest mass m0, is not a relativistic invariant, and that the velocity is not a Minkowski four-vector, in contrast to the quantity , where is the differential of the proper time. However, the energy-momentum four-vector is a genuine Minkowski four-vector, and the intrinsic origin of the square-root in the definition of the relativistic mass is the distinction between dτ and dt.
13. Relativity DeMystified, D. McMahon, Mc Graw Hill (USA), 2006, ISBN 0-07-145545-0
14. Dynamics and Relativity, J.R. Forshaw, A.G. Smith, Wiley, 2009, ISBN 978-0-470-01460-8
15. Hans, H. S.; Puri, S. P. (2003). Mechanics (2 ed.). Tata McGraw-Hill. p. 433. ISBN 0-07-047360-9., Chapter 12 page 433
16. E. F. Taylor and J. A. Wheeler, Spacetime Physics, W.H. Freeman and Co., NY. 1992.ISBN 0-7167-2327-1, see pp. 248-9 for discussion of mass remaining constant after detonation of nuclear bombs, until heat is allowed to escape.
17. Mould, Richard A. (2002). Basic relativity (2 ed.). Springer. p. 126. ISBN 0-387-95210-1., Chapter 5 page 126
18. Chow, Tail L. (2006). Introduction to electromagnetic theory: a modern perspective. Jones & Bartlett Learning. p. 392. ISBN 0-7637-3827-1., Chapter 10 page 392
19. a b c Conversions used: 1956 International (Steam) Table (IT) values where one calorie ≡ 4.1868 J and one BTU ≡ 1055.05585262 J. Weapons designers' conversion value of one gram TNT ≡ 1000 calories used.
20. Assuming the dam is generating at its peak capacity of 6,809 MW.
21. Assuming a 90/10 alloy of Pt/Ir by weight, a Cp of 25.9 for Pt and 25.1 for Ir, a Pt-dominated average Cp of 25.8, 5.134 moles of metal, and 132 J.K-1 for the prototype. A variation of ±1.5 picograms is of course, much smaller than the actual uncertainty in the mass of the international prototype, which are ±2 micrograms.
22. [3] Article on Earth rotation energy. Divided by c^2.
23. a b Earth's gravitational self-energy is 4.6 × 10-10 that of Earth's total mass, or 2.7 trillion metric tons. Citation: The Apache Point Observatory Lunar Laser-Ranging Operation (APOLLO), T. W. Murphy, Jr. et al. University of Washington, Dept. of Physics (132 kB PDF, here.).
24. There is usually more than one possible way to define a field energy, because any field can be made to couple to gravity in many different ways. By general scaling arguments, the correct answer at everyday distances, which are long compared to the quantum gravity scale, should be minimal coupling, which means that no powers of the curvature tensor appear. Any non-minimal couplings, along with other higher order terms, are presumably only

determined by a theory of quantum gravity, and within string theory, they only start to contribute to experiments at the string scale.

25. G. 't Hooft, "Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle", Physical Review D14:3432–3450 (1976).
26. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. Schwarz, Yu. Tyupkin, "Pseudoparticle Solutions to Yang Mills Equations", Physics Letters 59B:85 (1975).
27. F. Klinkhammer, N. Manton, "A Saddle Point Solution in the Weinberg Salam Theory", Physical Review D 30:2212.
28. Rubakov V. A. "Monopole Catalysis of Proton Decay", Reports on Progress in Physics 51:189–241 (1988).
29. S.W. Hawking "Black Holes Explosions?" Nature 248:30 (1974).
30. Einstein, A. (1905), "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper." (PDF), Annalen der Physik 17: 891–921, Bibcode 1905AnP...322...891E,DOI:10.1002/andp.19053221004. English translation.
31. See e.g. Lev B.Okun, The concept of Mass, Physics Today 42 (6), June 1969, p. 31–36, http://www.physicstoday.org/vol-42/iss-6/vol42no6p31_36.pdf
32. Max Jammer (1999), Concepts of mass in contemporary physics and philosophy, Princeton University Press, p. 51, ISBN 0-691-01017-X
33. Eriksen, Erik; Vøyenli, Kjell (1976), "The classical and relativistic concepts of mass",Foundations of Physics (Springer) 6: 115–124, Bibcode 1976FoPh....6..115E,DOI:10.1007/BF00708670
34. a b Jannsen, M., Mecklenburg, M. (2007), From classical to relativistic mechanics: Electromagnetic models of the electron., in V. F. Hendricks, et al., , Interactions: Mathematics, Physics and Philosophy (Dordrecht: Springer): 65–134
35. a b Whittaker, E.T. (1951–1953), 2. Edition: A History of the theories of aether and electricity, vol. 1: The classical theories / vol. 2: The modern theories 1900–1926, London: Nelson
36. Miller, Arthur I. (1981), Albert Einstein's special theory of relativity. Emergence (1905) and early interpretation (1905–1911), Reading: Addison–Wesley, ISBN 0-201-04679-2
37. a b Darrigol, O. (2005), "The Genesis of the theory of relativity." (PDF), Séminaire Poincaré 1: 1–22
38. Philip Ball (Aug 23, 2011). "Did Einstein discover E = mc2?" Physics World.
39. Ives, Herbert E. (1952), "Derivation of the mass-energy relation", Journal of the Optical Society of America 42 (8): 540–543, DOI:10.1364/JOSA.42.000540
40. Jammer, Max (1961/1997). Concepts of Mass in Classical and Modern Physics. New York: Dover. ISBN 0-486-29998-8.
41. Stachel, John; Torretti, Roberto (1982), "Einstein's first derivation of mass-energy equivalence", American Journal of Physics 50 (8): 760–763, Bibcode1982AmJPh..50..760S, DOI:10.1119/1.12764
42. Ohanian, Hans (2008), "Did Einstein prove E=mc2?", Studies In History and Philosophy of Science Part B 40 (2): 167–173, arXiv:0805.1400,DOI:10.1016/j.shpsb.2009.03.002
43. Hecht, Eugene (2011), "How Einstein confirmed E0=mc2", American Journal of Physics 79 (6): 591–600, Bibcode 2011AmJPh..79..591H, DOI:10.1119/1.3549223
44. Rohrlich, Fritz (1990), "An elementary derivation of E=mc2", American Journal of Physics 58 (4): 348–349, Bibcode 1990AmJPh..58..348R, DOI:10.1119/1.16168 (1996).
45. Lise Meitner: A Life in Physics. California Studies in the History of Science. 13. Berkeley: University of California Press. pp. 236–237. ISBN 0-520-20860-UIBK.ac.at
46. J. J. L. Morton; et al. (2008). "Solid-state quantum memory using the 31P nuclear spin". Nature 455 (7216): 1085–1088. Bibcode 2008Natur.455.1085M.DOI:10.1038/nature07295.
47. S. Weisner (1983). "Conjugate coding". Association of Computing Machinery, Special Interest Group in Algorithms and Computation Theory 15: 78–88.
48. A. Zelinger, Dance of the Photons: From Einstein to Quantum Teleportation, Farrar, Straus & Giroux, New York, 2010, pp. 189, 192, ISBN 0374239665
49. B. Schumacher (1995). "Quantum coding". Physical Review A 51 (4): 2738–2747. Bibcode 1995PhRvA..51.2738S. DOI:10.1103/PhysRevA.51.2738.
50. a b Straumann, N (2000). "On Pauli's invention of non-abelian Kaluza-Klein Theory in 1953". ArXiv: gr-qc/0012054 [gr-qc].
51. See Abraham Pais' account of this period as well as L. Susskind's "Superstrings, Physics World on the first non-abelian gauge theory" where Susskind wrote that Yang–Mills was "rediscovered" only because Pauli had chosen not to publish.
52. Reifler, N (2007). "Conditions for exact equivalence of Kaluza-Klein and Yang-Mills theories". ArXiv: gr-qc/0707.3790 [gr-qc].
53. Yang, C. N.; Mills, R. (1954). "Conservation of Isotopic Spin and Isotopic Gauge Invariance". Physical Review 96 (1): 191–195. Bibcode 1954PhRv...96..191Y.DOI:10.1103/PhysRev.96.191.
54. Caprini, I.; Colangelo, G.; Leutwyler, H. (2006). "Mass and width of the lowest resonance in QCD". Physical Review Letters 96 (13): 132001. ArXiv: hep-ph/0512364.Bibcode 2006PhRvL..96m2001C. DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.132001.
55. Yndurain, F. J.; Garcia-Martin, R.; Pelaez, J. R. (2007). "Experimental status of the ππ isoscalar S wave at low energy: f0 (600) pole and scattering length". Physical Review D76 (7): 074034. ArXiv:hep-ph/0701025. Bibcode 2007PhRvD..76g4034G.DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.074034.

56. Novikov, V. A.; Shifman, M. A.; A. I. Vainshtein, A. I.; Zakharov, V. I. (1983). "Exact Gell-Mann-Low Function of Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories From Instanton Calculus". Nuclear 229 (2): 381–393. Bibcode 1983NuPhB.229..381N.DOI:10.1016/0550-3213(83)90338-3.
57. Ryttov, T.; Sannino, F. (2008). "Super symmetry Inspired QCD Beta Function". Physical Review D 78 (6): 065001. Bibcode 2008PhRvD..78f5001R.DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.065001
58. Bogolubsky, I. L.; Ilgenfritz, E.-M.; A. I. Müller-Preussker, M.; Sternbeck, A. (2009). "Lattice gluodynamics computation of Landau-gauge Green's functions in the deep infrared". Physics Letters B 676 (1-3): 69–73. Bibcode 2009PhLB..676...69B.DOI:10.1016/j.physletb.2009.04.076.

First Author: 1Mr. K. N.Prasanna Kumar has three doctorates one each in Mathematics, Economics, Political Science. Thesis was based on Mathematical Modeling. He was recently awarded D.litt. for his work on 'Mathematical Models in Political Science'--- Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India Corresponding

Second Author: [2]**Prof. B.S Kiranagi** is the Former Chairman of the Department of Studies in Mathematics, Manasa Gangotri and present Professor Emeritus of UGC in the Department. Professor Kiranagi has guided over 25 students and he has received many encomiums and laurels for his contribution to Co homology Groups and Mathematical Sciences. Known for his prolific writing, and one of the senior most Professors of the country, he has over 150 publications to his credit. A prolific writer and a prodigious thinker, he has to his credit several books on Lie Groups, Co Homology Groups, and other mathematical application topics, and excellent publication history.-- UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

Third Author: [3]**Prof. C.S. Bagewadi** is the present Chairman of Department of Mathematics and Department of Studies in Computer Science and has guided over 25 students. He has published articles in both national and international journals. Professor Bagewadi specializes in Differential Geometry and its wide-ranging ramifications. He has to his credit more than 159 research papers. Several Books on Differential Geometry, Differential Equations are coauthored by him--- Chairman, Department of studies in Mathematics and Computer science, Jnanasahyadri Kuvempu University, Shankarghatta, Shimoga district, Karnataka, India

==