

Power Flow Control In A Transmission Line Using Unified Power Flow Controller

Liya Sebastian¹, Sajith RP²

¹Student, Department of Electrical and Electronics, ASIET / MG University, Kerala, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical And Electronics, ASIET, MG University, Kerala, India

Abstract: This paper concentrates on FACT device UPFC which is used for powerflow control in the transmission side. With the growing demand of electricity, it is not possible to erect new lines to face the situation. Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) makes use of the thyristor controlled devices and optimally utilizes the existing transmission network. One of such device is Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) on which the emphasis is given in this present work. Real, reactive power, and voltage balance of the unified power-flow control (UPFC) system is analyzed. A novel coordination controller is proposed for the UPFC. The basic control method is such that the shunt converter controls the transmission line reactive power flow and the dc-link voltage. The series converter controls the real power flow in the transmission line and the UPFC bus voltages. Experimental works have been conducted to verify the effectiveness of the UPFC in power flow control in the transmission line. The simulation model was done in MATLAB/SIMULINK platform.

Keywords: FACTS, IGBT, Reactive power balance, Real power balance, Series converter, Shunt converter, Unified Power-Flow Controller (UPFC), Voltage balance.

I. Introduction

The power transmitted over an ac transmission line is a function of the line impedance, the magnitude of sending-end and receiving-end voltages, and the phase angle between these voltages. Traditional techniques of reactive line compensation and step-like voltage adjustment are generally used to alter these parameters to achieve power transmission control. Fixed and mechanically shunt and series reactive compensation are employed to modify the natural impedance characteristics of transmission lines in order to establish the desired effective impedance between the sending and receiving-ends to meet power transmission requirements. Voltage regulating and phase shifting transformers with mechanical tap-changing gears are used to minimize voltage variation and control power flow. These conventional method provide adequate control under steady-state and slowly changing system conditions, but are largely ineffective in handling dynamic disturbances.

The traditional approach to contain dynamic problems is to establish generous stability margins enabling the system to recover from faults, line and generator outages, and equipment failures. This approach, although reliable, generally results in a significant under utilization of the transmission system. As a result of recent environmental legislation, rights-of-way issues, construction cost increases, and deregulation policies, there is an increasing recognition of the necessity to utilize existing transmission system assets to the maximum extent possible. To this end, electronically controlled, extremely fast reactive compensators and power flow controllers have been developed within the overall framework of the FACTS initiative. These compensators and controllers either use conventional reactive components and tap-changing transformer arrangements with thyristor valves and control electronics or employ switching power converters, as synchronous voltage sources, which can internally generate reactive power for, and also exchange real power with, the ac system.

The Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) is a member of this latter family of compensators and power flow controllers which utilize the synchronous voltage source(SVS) concept for providing a uniquely comprehensive capability for transmission system control. Within the framework of traditional power transmission system concepts, the UPFC is able to control, simultaneously or selectively, all the parameters affecting power flow in the transmission line. Alternatively, it can provide the unique functional capability of independently controlling both the real and reactive power flow in the line. These basic capabilities make the Unified Power Flow Controller the most powerful device presently available for transmission system control.

Unified power flow controller (UPFC) is a member of FACTS family. It is a combination of Static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) and Static synchronous series compensator (SSSC) coupled via a common dc-link, to allow bi-directional flow of real power between the series output terminals of the SSSC and the shunt output terminals of the STATCOM. The UPFC, by means of angularly unconstrained series voltage injection, is able to control the transmission line voltage, impedance and angle or the real and reactive power flow in the line.

Also the problem of low-frequency power swings is a matter of concern for power systems engineers. As it is becoming increasingly important to fully utilize the existing transmission system assets, proper attention should be given to the enhancement of utilizing the transmission capacity by damping of the power swings. Flexible AC transmission system (FACTS) devices are found to be very effective for this purpose. A FACTS device should have an adequate margin of variable compensation for effective damping of power swings. Therefore, while planning for FACTS controllers, the damping effect per MVar and the control cost should be taken into consideration. The damping provided by FACTS devices offers the means to reduce the inhibiting effects of the oscillations or swings. But the outputs of these FACTS devices should be restricted by the limits within their working conditions. Notwithstanding, low frequency oscillations are detrimental to the goals of maximum power transfer and optimal power system security.

II. System Overview

The first FACTS installation was at the C. J. Slatt Substation in Northern Oregon. This is a 500kV, 3-phase 60 Hz substation, and was developed by EPRI, the Bonneville P.

Series compensation

In series compensation, the FACTS is connected in series with the power system. It works as a controllable voltage source. Series inductance exists in all AC transmission lines. On long lines, when a large current flows, this causes a large voltage drop. To compensate, series capacitors are connected, decreasing the effect of the inductance.

Shunt compensation

In shunt compensation, power system is connected in shunt (parallel) with the FACTS. It works as a controllable current source. Shunt compensation is of two types:

- **Shunt capacitive compensation:**

This method is used to improve the power factor. Whenever an inductive load is connected to the transmission line, power factor lags because of lagging load current. To compensate, a shunt capacitor is connected which draws current leading the source voltage. The net result is improvement in power factor. This method is used to improve the power factor. Whenever an inductive load is connected to the transmission line, power factor lags because of lagging load current. To compensate, a shunt capacitor is connected which draws current leading the source voltage. The net result is improvement in power factor.

- **Inductive compensation:**

This method is used either when charging the transmission line or, when there is very low load at the receiving end. Due to very low, or no load very low current flows through the transmission line. Shunt capacitance in the transmission line causes voltage amplification (Ferranti Effect). The receiving end voltage may become double the sending end voltage (generally in case of very long transmission lines). To compensate, shunt inductors are connected across the transmission line. The power transfer capability is thereby increased depending upon the power equation.

The concept of Flexible AC transmission system has been proposed in 1995, which is called FACTS. The basic idea of FACTS is installing the power electronic devices at the high-voltage side of the power grid to make the whole system electronically controllable. The advances achieved in the high power semiconductor devices and control technology makes the foundation of the development of FACTS. The FACTS devices are able to provide active and reactive power to the grid rapidly. The power compensation achieved by FACTS devices could adjust the voltage of the whole system and the power flow could be satisfactorily controlled. Many works are carried out, related to the real and reactive power control in transmission line and the direct power control. The dynamic behaviour of two different flexible ac transmission system devices; the interline power-flow controller (IPFC) and the unified power-flow controller (UPFC) in a benchmark system. The small-signal model of the interline power-flow controller is developed and validated using detailed electromagnetic transients simulation.

2.1 The Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC)

The basic conception of the UPFC was proposed by Nabavi-Niaki and Iravani in 1996[4].The Unified Power Flow Controller(UPFC) was devised for the real-time control and dynamic compensation of ac transmission system, providing multi-functional exibility required to solve many of the problems facing the power delivery industry.

The UPFC is made up of two voltage source controllers sharing the same capacitor at their dc voltage controlled side. One voltage source controller is in parallel with one side of a transmission line and the other voltage source controller in the UPFC is in series connect to the other side of the same transmission line. The basic structure of the UPFC is shown in the Figure 1. The UPFC can simultaneously control the active and reactive power flow and voltage magnitude. However it has little effect on voltage angle.




Fig. no:1 Implementation of the UPFC by two back-to-back voltage-sourced converters

AC transmission lines form the backbone of the electricity grid in most countries and continents. The power flow will follow the path of least impedance and is uncontrollable, unless active grid elements are used. To enhance the functionality of the ac transmission grid, flexible ac transmission systems (FACTS) support the transmission grid with power electronics. These devices offer a level of control to the transmission system operator. A unified power-flow controller (UPFC) is the most versatile of these FACTS devices. A transmission line equipped with a UPFC can control the balance of the transmitted power between parallel lines and, as such, can optimize the use of the transmission grid for all parallel power flows. A one-wire schematic of a transmission-line system equipped with a UPFC is given in Fig.2




Fig. no:2 Basic structure of UPFC

A UPFC is connected to the transmission line by coupling transformers, both with a shunt and with a series connection. The UPFC consists of two ac/dc converters, the ac sides connected to the shunt and series connection with the transmission line, and the dc sides connected back to back. UPFCs are typically built with voltage-sourced converters, having a capacitor as (limited) dc energy storage.

The control scheme and comprehensive analysis for a unified power flow controller (UPFC) on the basis of theory, computer simulation, and experiment. This developed theoretical analysis reveals that a conventional power feedback control scheme makes the UPFC induce power fluctuation in transient states. The conventional control scheme cannot attenuate the power fluctuation, and so the time constant of damping is independent of active and reactive-power feedback gains integrated in its control circuit. An advanced control scheme which has the function of successfully damping out the power fluctuation. A UPFC rated at 10 kVA is designed and constructed, which is a combination of a series device consisting of three single-phase pulse width modulation (PWM) converters and a shunt device consisting of a three phase diode rectifier.

2.2 Power-Flow Control

The real, reactive power, and voltage balance of the unified power-flow control (UPFC) system is analyzed. Two important results related to UPFC control are shown in this paper. First, the shunt converter provides all of the required reactive power during the power-flow changes if the UPFC bus voltage is constant. Second, the UPFC bus voltage can be controlled both from the sending side and from the receiving side. Based on the analysis, a novel coordination controller is proposed for the UPFC. The basic control strategy is such that the shunt converter controls the transmission-line reactive power flow and the dc-link voltage. The series converter controls the transmission line real power flow and the UPFC bus voltage. The real/reactive power coordination controllers in the UPFC control system can obtain good performance both during transient and stable conditions. Inclusion of the real power coordination controller in the UPFC control system avoids excessive dc-link voltage excursions and improves its recovery during transient conditions. Experiments have been conducted to verify the effectiveness of the proposed control strategy.

Besides transformers, the general structure of UPFC contains also a "back to back" AC to DC voltage source converters operated from a common DC-link capacitor. First converter is connected in shunt and the second one in series with the line. The shunt converter is primarily used to provide active power demand of the series converter through a common DC link. Converter 1 can also generate or absorb reactive power, if it is desired, and thereby provide independent shunt reactive compensation for the line. Converter 2 provides the main function of the UPFC by injecting a voltage with controllable magnitude and phase angle in series with the line via an voltage source. The reactance x_s describes a reactance seen from terminals of the series transformer and is equal to (in p.u. base on system voltage and base power).

The real and reactive power equations are :

$$P = \frac{V_1 V_2}{X} \sin (\delta 1 - \delta 2) \tag{1}$$

$$Q = \frac{V_2}{X} (V_1 - V_2) \tag{2}$$




Fig. No: 3 Configuration of a UPFC based on two back-to-back three-phase converters.

2.3 Analysis of UPFC

2.3.1 Voltage Balance of the UPFC System

The UPFC is inserted into the transmission line through a series transformer. According to Fig3, the voltage balance can be established as

$$V_S = V_1 + j\omega L_S i_S \quad (3)$$

$$V_1 = V_{12} + j\omega L_R i_1 + V_R \quad (4)$$

$\omega = 314 \text{ rad/s}$ power system angular velocity
 L_S = sending-end transmission-line inductance
 L_R = Receiving-end transmission-line inductance

2.3.2 Real Power Balance Analysis

The power balance of the UPFC system is investigated as below.
 For real power balance :-

$$P_{SYS} - P_{Shunt} + P_{Series} = P_{Line} \quad (5)$$

P_{SYS} = Sending-end output real power
 P_{Shunt} = Real power absorbed by the shunt converter
 P_{Series} = Real power output by the series converter
 P_{Line} = Real power flow from the UPFC to the receiving end

2.3.3 Reactive Power Balance Analysis

According to Fig.3, The reactive power balance can be written as,

$$Q_{SYS} - Q_{Xs} - Q_{Shunt} + Q_{Series} = Q_{Line} \quad (6)$$

Q_{SYS} = Sending-end output reactive power
 Q_{Xs} = Reactive power consumed by the sending transmission line
 Q_{Shunt} = Reactive power absorbed by the shunt part of the UPFC
 Q_{Series} = Reactive power output by the series part of the UPFC
 Q_{Line} = Reactive power flow from the UPFC to the receiving end.

III. Proposed Plan

3.1 Problem Identification

The first generation of the FACTS devices. In this period, the typical devices are including tap changing and phase changing transformer, synchronous generator and series capacitors. Except the series capacitors, which could also be called capacitor bank, others are dynamic devices. These devices are mainly controlled at the generation side of the power grid and the cost is typically expensive. When talk about the series capacitors, the drawback of this device could hardly be omitted. Since the device is made up of many fixed-capacitance capacitors, it could hardly be controlled to give the real not-fixed capacitance to the grid. The second generation of the FACTS devices. It could be classified into two categories: thyristor-based devices and fully-controlled devices based compensator. The thyristor is called half controlled device, because it can only be controlled to switch on but not to cut-off. Static Var Compensator (SVC) and Thyristor-Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC) are included in this category. The fully controlled devices mainly involve GTO etc. The Static Compensator (STATCOM), Solid State Series Compensator (SSSC), Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) and HVDC- Voltage Source Converter (HVDC-VSC) are included in this group.

3.2 Problem solution

AC transmission lines form the backbone of the electricity grid in most countries and continents. The power flow will follow the path of least impedance and is uncontrollable, unless active grid elements are used. To enhance the functionality of the ac transmission grid, flexible ac transmission systems (FACTS) support the transmission grid with power electronics. These devices offer a level of control to the transmission system operator. A unified power-flow controller (UPFC) is the most versatile of these FACTS devices. A transmission line equipped with a UPFC can control the balance of the transmitted power between parallel lines and, as such, can optimize the use of the transmission grid for all parallel power flows.

3.3 Proposed controller

3.3.1 Series and Shunt controllers

The shunt converter of the UPFC controls the reactive power flow in the transmission line and dc-link voltage. The control diagram for the shunt part of the UPFC is shown in Fig 4. The control scheme is composed of two loops the outer loop and the inner loop. The outer loop generates the current reference with coordination control, while the inner current loop makes the shunt converter output the necessary currents. The control system is based on the synchronous rotating frame as mentioned before. The -axis current is controlled to manage the dc-link voltage and balance the real power of the UPFC. The -axis current is controlled to manage the transmission-line reactive power flow. The decoupled control system has been employed to achieve simultaneous control of the shunt converter input current. Coordination control schemes are applied in both transmission-line reactive power flow and dc-link voltage control to obtain better dynamic performance. In the axis coordination control scheme, the transmission-line reactive power-flow variation reference is added to the reactive power-flow PI regulator output with a gain $2/3V_{ld}$. So the shunt converter can generate an appropriate amount of reactive power to compensate the reactive power-flow change with a quick response. Further, the inner control system loops are fast-acting PI controllers and ensure fast supply of both the series converter real power demand and the reactive power needed for reactive power-flow control by the shunt converter.




Fig. no: 4 Shunt Controller

The series converter of the UPFC provides simultaneous control of the UPFC bus voltage and real power flow in the transmission line. To do so, the series converter injected voltage is decomposed into two components. The transformation is the same as that used in shunt converter control. The axis injected component controls the UPFC bus voltage. The axis injected component controls the transmission-line real power flow. The detail of this control scheme is shown in Fig 5. The whole control system is composed of two loops as it is in the shunt converter. The outer loop generates the reference voltage signals for the inner loop with coordination control. A current feed forward is added to the -axis outer loop to compensate the voltage changes across the line impedance when there is a power flow change. The inner control loop is a typical voltage current double-loop control scheme for inverters. The same decoupled control strategy as mentioned in is applied here. With this method, the UPFC bus can be controlled directly and good performance is expected.




Fig. no : 5 Series Controller

IV. Simulations And Results

An experimental UPFC system has been built to test the power control in transmission line. Recent advances in high-voltage IGBT technology allow for higher switching frequency with lower loss, and this allows for practical implementation of PWM control for high-power converters. So in the experiment, both the shunt converter and the series converter have been built as a three-phase PWM converter with IGBT as the power device. The UPFC device is inserted into a transmission line, and with the help of the UPFC, the power flow in the transmission line can be controlled effectively while maintaining the UPFC bus voltage constant. The parameters of the whole systems are given below:-




Fig. no: 6 Implementing UPFC in a transmission line

Design Specifications:-

Sending end voltage V_s	- 380V (line-line)
Receiving end voltage V_r	- 380V (line-line)
The transmission angle r	- 30 degree
Shunt transformer turns ratio T_{sh}	- 2.5:1 (Y-D)
Series transformer turns ratio T_{se}	- 6:8 (Y-D)
Sending end line inductance L_S	- 18mH
Transmission line inductance L_R	- 60mH
Shunt converter output filtering inductance L_{sh}	- 6mH
Series converter output filtering inductance L_{se}	- 1mH
Series converter output filtering capacitance C_{se}	- 10 μ F
DC-link capacitor C_{dc}	- 9400 μ F
DC-link voltage V_{dc}	- 400V

The UPFC under study is connected to the transmission system. In order to evaluate the operation, the UPFC power control system model has been developed and simulated in Matlab/Simulink. The components of the simulation model are built with standard electrical component blocks from the SimPower Systems block in Matlab/Simulink library.

Power control in transmission line:- With and without compensation




Fig. no:7 The real and reactive power in line 2.




Fig. no:8 The voltage and current in line 2.




Fig. no:9 The real and reactive power in line 1.




Fig. no:10 The voltage and current in line 2.




Fig. no:11 The real and reactive power in sending end side.




Fig. no:12 The voltage and current in the sending end side




Fig. no:13 a) Capacitor voltage. b) Gate pulses to shunt converter. c) Gate pulses to series converter.

V. Conclusion

Real, reactive power, and voltage balance of the UPFC system are analyzed. The basic control strategy is such that the shunt converter of the UPFC controls the transmission-line reactive power and the dc-link voltage. The series converter controls the transmission-line real power flow and the UPFC bus voltage. The shunt converter provides all of the required reactive power during the power flow changes if the UPFC bus voltage is constant. The UPFC bus voltage can be controlled both from the sending side and from the receiving-end side.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Gyugyi, "Direct power control of series converter of unified power-flow controller with three-level neutral point clamped converter", IEEE Trans. Power system., vol. 27, no. 12, December 2012.
- [2] L. Gyugyi, C. Schauder, S. Williams, T. Rietman, D. Torgerson, and A. Edris, "The unified power flow controller: A new approach to power transmission control", IEEE Trans. Power system, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 221-227, Mar. 1996.
- [3] A. R. Munoz and T. A. Lipo, "Control and analysis of a unified power flow controller", IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 683-689, Jul. 1999.
- [4] G. Pellegrino, R. I. Bojoi, P. Guglielmi, and F. Cupertino, "An improved upfc control for oscillation damping", IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 1970-1978, Sep./Oct. 2010.
- [5] T. Hoshino and J.-I. Itoh, "Output voltage correction for a voltage source type inverter of an induction motor drive", IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 2440-2449, Sep. 2010.
- [6] L. Chen and F. Z. Peng, "Power-flow control performance analysis of a unified power-flow controller in a novel control scheme" IEEE Trans. Power system., vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 574-580, Mar. 2008.
- [7] Mariusz Jankowski and Grzegorz Jabonski, "Effects of unified power flow controllers on transient stability", INTL JOURNAL OF POWER SYSTEM, 2012.