

On ranges and null spaces of a special type of operator named $\lambda - j$ ection. – Part III

Rajiv Kumar Mishra

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Rajendra College, Chapra (Jai Prakash University, Chapra) Bihar-841301

ABSTRACT: In this article, $\lambda - j$ ection has been introduced which is a generalization of trijection operator as introduced in P.Chandra’s Ph. D. thesis titled “Investigation into the theory of operators and linear spaces” (Patna University,1977). We obtain relation between ranges and null spaces of two given $\lambda - j$ ections under suitable conditions.

Key Words: projection, trijection, $\lambda - j$ ection

I. Introduction

Dr. P. Chandra has defined a trijection operator in his Ph.D. thesis titled “ Investigation into the theory of operators and linear spaces”. [1]. A projection operator E on a linear space X is defined as $E^2 = E$ as given in Dunford and Schwartz [2] , p .37 and Rudin, [3] p.126. In analogue to this, E is a trijection operator if $E^3 = E$. It is a generalization of projection operator in the sense that every projection is a trijection but a trijection is not necessarily a projection.

II. Definition

Let X be a linear space and E be a linear operator on X . We call E a $\lambda - j$ ection if $E^3 + \lambda E^2 = (1 + \lambda)E$, λ being a scalar. Thus if $\lambda = 0$, $E^3 = E$ i.e. E is a trijection. We see that $E^2 = E \Rightarrow E^3 = E$ and above condition is satisfied. Thus a projection is also a $\lambda - j$ ection.

III. Main Results

3.1 We first investigate the case when an expression of the form $aE^2 + bE$ is a projection where E is a $\lambda - j$ ection . For this we need

$$(aE^2 + bE)^2 = aE^2 + bE .$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 E^4 + b^2 E^2 + 2abE^3 = aE^2 + bE \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

From definition of $\lambda - j$ ection ,

$$E^3 = (1 + \lambda)E - \lambda E^2$$

$$\text{so } E^4 = E.E^3 = (1 + \lambda)E^2 - \lambda E^3$$

$$= (1 + \lambda)E^2 - \lambda\{(1 + \lambda)E - \lambda E^2\}$$

$$= (1 + \lambda + \lambda^2)E^2 - \lambda(1 + \lambda)E$$

We put these values in (1) and after simplifying

$$\{a^2(1 + \lambda) + b^2 - a - \lambda(2ab - a^2\lambda)\}E^2 + \{(2ab - a^2\lambda)(1 + \lambda) - b\}E = 0$$

Equating Coefficients of E & E^2 to be 0, we get

$$a^2(1 + \lambda) + b^2 - a - \lambda(2ab - a^2\lambda) = 0 \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

$$(2ab - a^2\lambda)(1 + \lambda) - b = 0 \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

Adding (2) and (3), We get

$$(2ab - a^2\lambda)(1 + \lambda - \lambda) + a^2(1 + \lambda) + b^2 - a - b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2ab - a^2\lambda + a^2 + a^2\lambda + b^2 - a - b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 + 2ab + b^2 - (a + b) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a + b)^2 - (a + b) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a + b)(a + b - 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Either } (a + b) = 0 \text{ or } (a + b) = 1$$

So for projection, the above two cases will be considered

Case (1): let $(a + b) = 1$ then $b = 1 - a$

Putting the value of $b = 1 - a$ in equation (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2) - 2a(1 - a)\lambda - a + (1 - a)^2 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & a^2(\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 2) - a(3 + 2\lambda) + 1 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & a^2(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) - a(\lambda + 1 + \lambda + 2) + 1 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & [a(\lambda + 1) - 1][a(\lambda + 2) - 1] = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & a = \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} \text{ or } \frac{1}{\lambda + 2} \end{aligned}$$

Then $b = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1}$ or $\frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda + 2}$

Hence corresponding projections are

$$\frac{E^2}{\lambda + 1} + \frac{\lambda E}{\lambda + 1} \text{ and } \frac{E^2}{\lambda + 2} + \frac{(\lambda + 1)E}{\lambda + 2}$$

Case (2) :- Let $a + b = 0$ or $b = -a$

So from Equation (2)

$$\begin{aligned} & a^2(1 + \lambda) + a^2 - a - \lambda\{-2a^2 - a^2\lambda\} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & a^2[\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 2] - a = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & a[a(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) - 1] = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & a = \frac{1}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)}; \text{ (Assuming } a \neq 0) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $b = \frac{-1}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)}$

Hence the corresponding projection is

$$\frac{E^2 - E}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)}$$

So in all we get three projections. Call them A, B & C.

$$\text{i.e. } A = \frac{E^2}{\lambda + 2} + \frac{(1 + \lambda)E}{\lambda + 2}, B = \frac{E^2 - E}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)}$$

$$\text{and } C = \frac{E^2}{1 + \lambda} + \frac{\lambda E}{1 + \lambda}.$$

3.2 Relation between A, B, & C.

$$\begin{aligned} A + B &= \frac{E^2}{\lambda + 2} + \frac{(1 + \lambda)E}{\lambda + 2} + \frac{E^2}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)} - \frac{E}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)} \\ &= \frac{E^2(\lambda + 1) + (\lambda + 1)^2 E + E^2 - E}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)} \\ &= \frac{E^2(\lambda + 2) + \lambda(\lambda + 2)E}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)} \\ &= \frac{E^2 + \lambda E}{\lambda + 1} \\ &= \frac{E^2}{\lambda + 1} + \frac{\lambda E}{\lambda + 1} = C \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } (A + B)^2 = C^2 \Rightarrow A^2 + B^2 + 2AB = C^2$$

$$\Rightarrow A + B + 2AB = C$$

$$\Rightarrow 2AB = 0 \text{ (Since } A + B = C)$$

$$\Rightarrow AB = 0$$

Let $\mu = \lambda + 1$

$$\text{Then } A = \frac{E^2}{\mu + 1} + \frac{\mu E}{\mu + 1}, B = \frac{E^2 - E}{\mu(\mu + 1)} \text{ and } C = \frac{E^2}{\mu} + \frac{(\mu - 1)E}{\mu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } A - \mu B &= \frac{E^2}{\mu + 1} + \frac{\mu E}{\mu + 1} - \frac{E^2}{\mu + 1} + \frac{E}{\mu + 1} \\ &= \frac{\mu E + E}{\mu + 1} = \frac{(\mu + 1)E}{\mu + 1} = E \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } E = A - \mu B.$$

3.3 On ranges and null spaces of λ – jecton

We show that

$$R_E = R_C \text{ and } N_E = N_C$$

Where R_E stands for range of operator E and N_E for Null Space of E and similar notations for other operators.

Let $x \in R_E$ then $x = Ez$ for some z in X .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Cx &= CEz = \frac{\{(\mu-1)E+E^2\}Ez}{\mu} \\ &= \frac{\{(\mu-1)E^2+E^3\}z}{\mu} \\ &= \frac{\{(\mu-1)E^2+\mu E-(\mu-1)E^2\}z}{\mu} \quad (\text{Since } E^3 = (1+\lambda)E - \lambda E^2) \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu E}{\mu}\right)z = Ez = x \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Cx = x \Rightarrow x \in R_C$

Therefore $R_E \subseteq R_C$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Again if } x \in R_C \text{ then } x &= Cx = \left(\frac{E^2+(\mu-1)E}{\mu}\right)x \\ &= \frac{E(E+\lambda I)x}{\lambda+1} \in R_E \end{aligned}$$

Hence $R_C \subseteq R_E$

Therefore $R_E = R_C$

Now, $z \in N_E \Rightarrow Ez = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{E^2+(\mu-1)E}{\mu}\right)z = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Cz = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z \in N_C$$

Therefore, $N_E \subseteq N_C$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also if } z \in N_C \Rightarrow Cz &= 0 \Rightarrow \left(\frac{E^2+(\mu-1)E}{\mu}\right)z = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow E\left(\frac{E^2+(\mu-1)E}{\mu}\right)z = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \left(\frac{E^3+(\mu-1)E^2}{\mu}\right)z = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\mu E}{\mu}\right)z = 0 \Rightarrow Ez = 0 \Rightarrow z \in N_E \end{aligned}$$

Thus $N_C \subseteq N_E$,

Therefore, $N_E = N_C$

Now we show that

$$R_A = \{z: Ez = z\} \text{ and } R_B = \{z: Ez = -\mu z\}$$

Since A is a Projection,

$$R_A = \{z: Az = z\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } z \in R_A. \text{ Then } Ez &= EAz = E\left(\frac{E^2+\mu E}{\mu+1}\right)z \\ &= \left(\frac{E^3+\mu E^2}{\mu+1}\right)z \\ &= \left(\frac{E^3+(\mu-1)E^2+E^2}{\mu+1}\right)z \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu E+E^2}{\mu+1}\right)z \\ &= Az = z \end{aligned}$$

Thus $R_A \subseteq \{z: Ez = z\}$

Conversely, Let $Ez = z$ then $E^2z = z$

$$\text{So } Az = \left(\frac{E^2+\mu E}{\mu+1}\right)z = \frac{z+\mu z}{\mu+1} = z \Rightarrow z \in R_A$$

Hence $\{z: Ez = z\} \subseteq R_A$

Therefore, $R_A = \{z: Ez = z\}$

Next we show

$$R_B = \{z: Ez = -\mu z\}$$

Since B is a Projection,

$$R_B = \{z: Bz = z\}$$

Let $Ez = -\mu z$ then $E^2z = \mu^2z$

$$\text{Hence } \left(\frac{E^2-E}{\mu(\mu+1)}\right)z = \frac{\mu^2z+\mu z}{\mu(\mu+1)} = \frac{\mu(\mu+1)}{\mu(\mu+1)}z = z$$

$$\text{i.e. } Bz = z \text{ (since } B = \frac{E^2-E}{\mu(\mu+1)})$$

$$\Rightarrow z \in R_B$$

Therefore, $\{z: Ez = -\mu z\} \subseteq R_B$

Conversely, let $z \in R_B$. Then $Bz = z$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } Ez = EBz &= E\left(\frac{E^2-E}{\mu(\mu+1)}\right)z \\ &= \left(\frac{E^3-E^2}{\mu(\mu+1)}\right)z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } E^3 - E^2 &= \mu E - (\mu - 1)E^2 - E^2 \\ &= \mu E - \mu E^2 = \mu(E - E^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } Ez &= \frac{\mu(E-E^2)z}{\mu(\mu+1)} = \frac{-\mu(E^2-E)z}{\mu(\mu+1)} \\ &= -\mu Bz = -\mu z \end{aligned}$$

So $R_B \subseteq \{z: Ez = -\mu z\}$

Therefore $R_B = \{z: Ez = -\mu z\}$

Now we show that $R_A \cap R_B = \{0\}$

Let $z \in R_A \cap R_B$

Then $z \in R_A$ and $z \in R_B$

If $z \in R_A$ then $Ez = z$

If $z \in R_B$ then $Ez = -\mu z$

Thus $Ez = z = -\mu z$

$$\Rightarrow \mu z + z = 0 \Rightarrow (\mu + 1)z = 0 \Rightarrow z = 0 \text{ (since } \mu + 1 \neq 0)$$

Therefore, $R_A \cap R_B = \{0\}$

Theorem (1): If E_1, E_2 are commuting λ – juction on a linear space X such that $R_{A_1} = R_{B_2}$ and $R_{A_2} = R_{B_1}$, then

$$E_1 = \frac{-1}{\mu} E_1^2 E_2, E_2 = \frac{-1}{\mu} E_1 E_2^2 \text{ and } C_1 = C_2$$

Proof : Given $R_{A_1} \subseteq R_{B_2}$

Let $z \in X$, then $A_1 z \in R_{A_1} \Rightarrow A_1 z \in R_{B_2} \Rightarrow E_2(A_1 z) = -\mu A_1 z$

Since z is arbitrary, So $E_2 A_1 = -\mu A_1$,

Again, given that $R_{B_2} \subseteq R_{A_1}$.

Now $B_2 z \in R_{B_2} \Rightarrow B_2 z \in R_{A_1} \Rightarrow E_1 B_2 z = B_2 z$

Since z is arbitrary, $E_1 B_2 = B_2$

Hence we have $E_2 A_1 = -\mu A_1$ and $E_1 B_2 = B_2$

Similarly, $R_{A_2} = R_{B_1} \Rightarrow E_1 A_2 = -\mu A_2$ and $E_2 B_1 = B_1$

Thus $E_2 A_1 = -\mu A_1$ and $E_2 B_1 = B_1$

Hence $E_2(A_1 - \mu B_1) = -\mu A_1 - \mu B_1 = -\mu(A_1 + B_1) = -\mu C_1$

$\Rightarrow E_2 E_1 = -\mu C_1$ or $E_1 E_2 = -\mu C_1$ (Since E_1, E_2 Commute)

Also $E_1 A_2 = -\mu A_2$ and $E_1 B_2 = B_2$

Therefore $E_1(A_2 - \mu B_2) = -\mu A_2 - \mu B_2 = -\mu C_2$

$\Rightarrow E_1 E_2 = -\mu C_2 = -\mu C_1$

$$\Rightarrow C_1 = C_2$$

$$\text{Also } -\frac{1}{\mu} E_1^2 E_2 = \frac{-1}{\mu} E_1 (E_1 E_2) = \frac{-1}{\mu} E_1 (-\mu C_1) = E_1 C_1 = E_1$$

$$\text{And } \frac{-1}{\mu} E_1 E_2^2 = \frac{-1}{\mu} (E_1 E_2) E_2 = \frac{-1}{\mu} (-\mu C_2) E_2 = C_2 E_2 = E_2$$

Theorem (2): if E_1 and E_2 are two commuting λ – juctions on a linear space X (with $\lambda \neq 0$) such that $R_{A_1} = N_{E_2}$ and $R_{A_2} = N_{E_1}$, then

$$E_1^2 E_2 = E_1 E_2^2 \text{ and } E_1 - E_2 = E_1^2 - E_2^2$$

Proof : Let $z \in X$ then $A_1 z \in R_{A_1} \subseteq N_{E_2}$
 $\Rightarrow A_1 z \in N_{E_2} \Rightarrow E_2 (A_1 z) = 0, \forall z$
 $\Rightarrow E_2 A_1 = 0$
 $E_2 (I - C_2) z = (E_2 - E_2 C_2) z = (E_2 - E_2) z = 0$
 $\Rightarrow (I - C_2) z \in N_{E_2} \subseteq R_{A_1} \Rightarrow A_1 (I - C_2) z = (I - C_2) z, \forall z$
 $\Rightarrow A_1 (I - C_2) = I - C_2 \Rightarrow A_1 - A_1 C_2 = I - C_2 \dots (1)$

Similarly $R_{A_2} = N_{E_1}$, So interchanging suffixes 1 and 2,

$$E_1 A_2 = 0 \text{ and } A_2 - A_2 C_1 = I - C_1 \dots (2)$$

Now $E_2 A_1 - E_2 A_2 = 0$

$$\Rightarrow E_2 \left(\frac{E_1^2 + \mu E_1}{\mu + 1} \right) - E_1 \left(\frac{E_2^2 + \mu E_2}{\mu + 1} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_2 E_1^2 + \mu E_2 E_1 - (E_1 E_2^2 + \mu E_1 E_2) = 0$$

Since E_1, E_2 commute, $E_1^2 E_2 + \mu E_1 E_2 - E_1 E_2^2 - \mu E_1 E_2 = 0$

$$\Rightarrow E_1^2 E_2 - E_1 E_2^2 = 0 \Rightarrow E_1^2 E_2 = E_1 E_2^2$$

Now subtracting equation (2) from (1), we get

$$A_1 - A_2 - (A_1 C_2 - A_2 C_1) = C_1 - C_2 \dots (3)$$

$$\text{But } A_1 C_2 - A_2 C_1 = \left(\frac{E_1^2 + \mu E_1}{\mu + 1} \right) \left(\frac{E_2^2 + (\mu - 1) E_2}{\mu} \right) - \left(\frac{E_2^2 + \mu E_2}{\mu + 1} \right) \left(\frac{E_1^2 + (\mu - 1) E_1}{\mu} \right)$$

$$= \frac{E_1^2 E_2^2 + (\mu - 1) E_1^2 E_2 + \mu E_1 E_2^2 + \mu(\mu - 1) E_1 E_2 - \{E_2^2 E_1^2 + (\mu - 1) E_2^2 E_1 + \mu E_2 E_1^2 + \mu(\mu - 1) E_2 E_1\}}{\mu(\mu + 1)}$$

$$= \frac{E_1^2 E_2^2 + (\mu - 1) E_1^2 E_2 + \mu E_1 E_2^2 + \mu(\mu - 1) E_1 E_2 - E_2^2 E_1^2 + (\mu - 1) E_2^2 E_1 - \mu E_2 E_1^2 - \mu(\mu - 1) E_2 E_1}{\mu(\mu + 1)}$$

$$= \frac{(\mu - 1) E_1^2 E_2 + \mu E_1 E_2^2 - (\mu - 1) E_2^2 E_1 - \mu E_2 E_1^2}{\mu(\mu + 1)}$$

$$= 0 \text{ (Since } E_1, E_2 \text{ are commuting } \lambda \text{ – juctions and } E_1^2 E_2 = E_1 E_2^2) \dots (4)$$

Hence from (3) and (4), we have

$$A_1 - A_2 = C_1 - C_2 \dots (5)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{E_1^2 + \mu E_1}{\mu + 1} - \frac{E_2^2 + \mu E_2}{\mu + 1} = \frac{E_1^2 + (\mu - 1) E_1}{\mu} - \frac{E_2^2 + (\mu - 1) E_2}{\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(E_1^2 - E_2^2) + \mu(E_1 - E_2)}{\mu + 1} = \frac{E_1^2 - E_2^2 + (\mu - 1)(E_1 - E_2)}{\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(E_1^2 - E_2^2) + \mu^2(E_1 - E_2) = (\mu + 1)(E_1^2 - E_2^2) + (\mu^2 - 1)(E_1 - E_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mu^2 - \mu^2 + 1)(E_1 - E_2) = (\mu + 1 - \mu)(E_1^2 - E_2^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow E_1 - E_2 = E_1^2 - E_2^2$$

Theorem (3): If E_1, E_2 are two commuting λ – juctions on a linear Space X s.t. $R_{B_1} = N_{E_2}$ and $R_{B_2} = N_{E_1}$ then

$$E_1 E_2^2 = E_1^2 E_2 \text{ and } \mu E_1 + E_1^2 = \mu E_2 + E_2^2$$

Proof : Let $z \in X$, then $B_1 z \in R_{B_1} \subseteq N_{E_2}$

$$\Rightarrow E_2 B_1 z = 0, \forall z \Rightarrow E_2 B_1 = 0$$

$$\text{Also } (I - C_2) z \in N_{E_2} \subseteq R_{B_1} \Rightarrow E_1 (I - C_2) z = -\mu(I - C_2) z, \forall z$$

$$\Rightarrow E_1(I - C_2) = -\mu(I - C_2) \Rightarrow E_1 - E_1C_2 = -\mu I + \mu C_2 \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

Similarly $R_{E_2} = N_{E_1}$

$$\Rightarrow E_1B_2 = 0, E_2 - E_2C_1 = -\mu I + \mu C_1 \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

$$\text{Now, } E_2B_1 = 0 \Rightarrow E_2B_1 = E_2 \left(\frac{E_1^2 - E_1}{\mu(\mu+1)} \right) = \frac{E_2E_1^2 - E_2E_1}{\mu(\mu+1)} = 0$$

$$\text{Hence } E_2E_1^2 = E_2E_1$$

$$\text{Since } E_1, E_2 \text{ commute, we have } E_1^2E_2 = E_1E_2 \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

$$\text{Similarly, } E_1B_2 = 0 \Rightarrow E_1E_2^2 = E_1E_2 \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

Hence from equations (3) and (4)

$$E_1E_2^2 = E_1^2E_2 (= E_1E_2) \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

Subtracting, equations (2) from (1) we have

$$E_1 - E_2 - (E_1C_2 - E_2C_1) = \mu(C_2 - C_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } E_1C_2 - E_2C_1 &= E_1 \left(\frac{E_2^2 + (\mu-1)E_2}{\mu} \right) - E_2 \left(\frac{E_1^2 + (\mu-1)E_1}{\mu} \right) \\ &= \frac{E_1E_2^2 - E_2E_1^2 + (\mu-1)(E_1E_2 - E_2E_1)}{\mu} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(using equation (5) and using the fact that E_1, E_2 commute)

$$\text{So } E_1 - E_2 = \mu(C_2 - C_1) = \mu \left\{ \frac{E_2^2 + (\mu-1)E_2}{\mu} - \frac{E_1^2 + (\mu-1)E_1}{\mu} \right\}$$

$$= E_2^2 + (\mu-1)E_2 - E_1^2 - (\mu-1)E_1$$

$$= E_2^2 - E_1^2 + (\mu-1)(E_2 - E_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(E_1 - E_2) = E_2^2 - E_1^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu E_1 + E_1^2 = \mu E_2 + E_2^2 \text{ Proved}$$

Theorem (4): If E_1 and E_2 are two commuting λ – jections on a linear space X s.t. $N_{E_1} = R_{E_2}$ and $N_{E_2} = R_{E_1}$ then $E_1E_2 = 0$ and

$$\mu I - (\mu-1)(E_1 + E_2) = E_1^2 + E_2^2$$

Proof : Let $z \in X$ then $E_1z \in R_{E_1} \subseteq N_{E_2}$

$$\Rightarrow E_2E_1z = 0 \Rightarrow E_2E_1 = 0 \Rightarrow E_1E_2 = 0$$

Now $(I - C_2)z \in N_{E_2} \subseteq R_{E_1} \Rightarrow (I - C_2)z \in R_{C_1}$

$$\Rightarrow C_1(I - C_2)z = (I - C_2)z; \forall z \in X$$

$$\Rightarrow C_1 - C_1C_2 = I - C_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } C_1C_2 &= \frac{(\mu-1)E_1 + E_1^2}{\mu} \times \frac{(\mu-1)E_2 + E_2^2}{\mu} \\ &= \frac{(\mu-1)^2E_1E_2 + (\mu-1)E_1E_2^2 + (\mu-1)E_1^2E_2 + E_1^2E_2^2}{\mu^2} = 0 \text{ (Since } E_1E_2 = 0) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $C_1 = I - C_2 \Rightarrow C_1 + C_2 = I$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(\mu-1)E_1 + E_1^2}{\mu} + \frac{(\mu-1)E_2 + E_2^2}{\mu} = I$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mu-1)(E_1 + E_2) + E_1^2 + E_2^2 = \mu I$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu I - (\mu-1)(E_1 + E_2) = E_1^2 + E_2^2 \text{ Proved}$$

REFERENCES

- [1]. Chandra, P: “ Investigation into the theory of operators and linear spaces.” (Ph.D. Thesis, Patna University, 1977)
- [2]. Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J.: “ Linear operators Part I”, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1967, P. 37
- [3]. Rudin, W. : “ Functional Analysis”, Mc. Grow- Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1973, P.126