Optimization of Surface Roughness in CNC Turning of Aluminium 6061 Using Taguchi Techniques

Ranganath M. S.¹, Vipin², R. S. Mishra³, Prateek⁴, Nikhil⁵

^{1,2}Production and Industrial Engineering, ^{3,4,5}Mechanical Engineering, Delhi Technological University, Delhi, India

ABSTRACT: The work and study presented in this paper aims to investigate the effect of the cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut on surface roughness, in CNC turning of Aluminium (6061) in dry condition. The effect of cutting condition (cutting speed and feed rate) on surface roughness were studied and analysed. Design of experiments (DOE) were conducted for the analysis of the influence of the turning parameters on the surface roughness by using Taguchi design and then followed by optimization of the results using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to find minimum surface roughness. The speed was identified as the most influential process parameter on surface roughness. The optimum surface roughness was reached when the feed rate and depth of cut were set as low as possible.

Keywords: Surface Roughness, CNC Turning, Optimization, Taguchi Techniques, Aluminium

I. INTRODUCTION

The drastic increase of consumer needs for quality metal cutting related products (more precise tolerance and better surface finish) has driven the metal cutting industry to continuously improve quality control of the metal cutting processes. The quality of surface roughness is an important requirement of many work pieces in machining operations. Within the metal cutting processes, the turning process is one of the most fundamental metal removal operations used in the manufacturing industry. Surface roughness, which is used to determine and evaluate the quality of a product, is one of the major quality attributes of a turned product. Surface roughness of a machined product could affect several of the product's functional attributes such as contact causing surface friction, wearing, light reflection, heat transmission, ability of distributing and holding a lubricant, coating and resisting fatigue. Therefore, surface roughness is one of the important quality aspects in turning operations. [12]

Hence, there is a need to optimize the process parameters in a systematic way to achieve the output characteristics/responses by using experimental methods and statistical models. In order to obtain better surface roughness, the proper setting of cutting parameters is crucial before the process takes place. The aim of organization of this paper is to optimize the process parameters for minimum surface roughness in CNC turning process for Aluminium 6061.

	Table 1: Summary of Research Papers									
Ref. No.	Ref. No. Year Author's Name Material Input Parameter Output Parameter		Output Parameter	Most Significant						
1.	2002	C. X. Feng, X. Wang	Steel 8620, Al 6061T	Work piece hardness (Steel (8620) HRB 86, AL (6061T) HRB 52), Feed (0.051, 0.203 mm/rev.), Tool point angle (35°, 80°), Depth of cut (0.51, 1.02 mm), Speed (1200, 1800 rpm), Cutting time	Surface Roughness	Workpiec e Hardness	Tool point angle			
2.	2007	Hasan Gökkaya, MuammerNalbant	AISI 1030 Steel	Insert Radius (0.4, 0.8, 1.2 mm), DOC (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 mm), Feed (0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35 mm/rev.)	Surface Roughness	Feed	Insert Radius			
		HK Dave IS	FN-8	Tool (CN1500, CC8020), Work Material (EN-8, EN-31),	Surface Roughness	Tool	DOC			
3.	2012	Patel, H. K. Raval	EN-8, EN-31	Cutting Speed (100, 150 m/min.), DOC (1, 1.5 mm), Feed (0.25, 0.30 mm/rev.)	MRR	DOC	Tool			
4.	2013	Harish Kumar Mohd. Abbas Dr.	MS 1010	Speed (1600, 1300, 1000 rpm), Feed (0.04, 0.03, 0.02 mm/rev.),	Surface Roughness	Speed	Feed			

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Table 1 elucidates the work done by previous researchers.

| IJMER | ISSN: 2249-6645 |

		AasMohmmad etc		DOC (0.35, 0.30, 0.25 mm)			
			A 101 41 40	Cutting Speed (90, 120, 150 m/min.),			
5.	2011	Harun Akkus	(51 HRC)	Feed (0.18, 0.27, 0.36 mm/rev.),	Roughness	Feed	DOC
			(011110)	$\frac{\text{DOC} (0.2, 0.4, 0.6 \text{ mm})}{\text{Cutting Sneed} (100, 125, 150 \text{ m/min})}$	Troughness		
6.	2013	JakhalePrashant,	High alloy	Feed (0.24, 0.26, 0.28 mm/rev.).	Surface	DOC	Speed
	2010	Jadhav B. R.	steel	DOC (1, 2, 3 mm)	Roughness	200	Speed
			AISI 304	Cutting Speed (150, 170, 190, 210	Surface	Speed	Nose
7	2012	M. Kaladhar, K. Venkata Ch	Austenitic	m/min.), Feed (0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30 mm/rev.)	Roughness	~	Radius
/.	2012	Srinivasa Rao	Stainless	DOC (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 mm),	MRR	DOC	Feed
			Steel	Nose Radius (0.4, 0.8 mm)			
		M. Kaladhar, K. V.	AISI 202	Cutting Speed (111, 200 m/min.), Eacd (0.15, 0.25 mm/my)	Surface	Feed	Nose
8.	2011	Srinivasa, K.	Stainless	DOC (0.25, 0.75 mm).	Roughness		Radius
		Narayana,	Steel	Nose Radius (0.4, 0.8 mm)	MRR	DOC	Speed
			AISI 304	Cutting Speed (150, 170, 190, 210			
9	2012	M. Kaladnar, K. Venkata Ch	Austenitic	m/min.), Feed (0.15, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30 mm/rev.)	Surface	Feed	Nose
	2012	Srinivasa Rao	Stainless	DOC (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 mm),	Roughness	1000	Radius
			Steel	Nose Radius (0.4, 0.8 mm)			
		M Kaladhar K	AISI 202 Austenitic	Cutting Speed (111, 200 m/min.)			
10	2010	Venkata , Ch.	Stainless	Feed (0.15, 0.25 mm/rev.),	Surface	Food	Nose
10.	2010	Srinivasa Rao, K.	Steel 300	DOC (0.25, 0.75 mm),	Roughness	reeu	Radius
		Narayana Rao	Series 200	Nose Radius (0.4, 0.8 mm)			
		M Nalhant H	A ISI 1020	Nose Radius (0.4, 0.8, 1.2 mm),	Surface	Nosa	
11.	2007	Gokkaya, G. Sur	Steel	DOC (0.5, 1.5, 2.5 mm),	Roughness	Radius	Feed
		N E Edwin Paul		Feed (0.15, 0.25, 0.35 mm/rev.)			
12.	2013	P. Marimuthu,	EN8 Steel	Feed (0.15, 0.20, 0.25 mm/rev.),	Surface	Speed	Feed
		R.Venkatesh		DOC (0.8, 1.0, 1.2 mm)	Roughness		
				Cutting Edge Geometry (Honed, Chamfer)			Cutting
13.	2005	Ozel T., Hsu T.K., Zoron E	AISI H13	Workpiece Hardness (51.3, 54.7),	Surface	Feed	Edge
		Zeren E.	Steel	Feed (0.05, 0.10, 0.20 mm/rev.),	Roughness		ry
				Cutting Speed (100, 200 m/min.)	Surface		
14.	2014	Ranganath M. S.,	Aluminium	Feed (0.2, 0.315, 0.4 mm/rev.),	Roughness	Speed	DOC
		vipin, K. S. Mishia	(0001)	DOC (0.2, 0.4, 0.6 mm)	MRR	DOC	Speed
		Ranganath M. S., Vipin Nand	Aluminium	Depth of Cut (0.25, 0.50, 0.75 mm),	Surface		Depth
15.	2014	Kumar, R.	KS1275	Speed (1600, 1900, 2200 RPM),	Roughness	Feed	of Cut
		Srivastava		Feed (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 mm/rev.)			
				Tool Rake Angle (16, 18, 20°)			
16.	2014	Ranganath M. S.,	Aluminium	Cutting Speed (175, 225, 275 m/min.),	Surface	Feed	Depth
		Vipin	(6061)	Feed Rate (0.05, 0.1, 0.15 mm/rev.),	Roughness		of Cut
		Donconoth M. S.	A 1,000 in 1,000	Depth of Cut $(0.1, 0.2, 0.3 \text{ mm})$	Sumfaga	Dalra	Nese
17.	2014	Vipin	(6061)	Nose Radius $(0.4, 0.6, 0.8 \text{ mm})$	Roughness	Angle	Radius
		Upinder Kumar	Medium	Cutting Speed (175, 220, 264 m/min.)			
18.	2012	Yadav, Deepak	Carbon steel	Feed (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 mm/rev.),	Surface	Feed	Speed
		Sharma	AISI 1045	DOC (0.5, 1.0, 1.5 mm)	Koughness		_
		Ranganath M. S.,		Speed (1600, 1900, 2200mm)			
19.	2015	Vipin Nond Verser	Aluminium	Feed (0.12, 0.18, 0.24 mm/rev.),	Surface	Feed	Depth
		Rakesh Kumar	NO 12/0	DOC (0.25, 0.5, 0.75 mm)	Roughness		or Cut

Preparation of Papers for International Journal of Modern Engineering Research

III. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

Work piece material

Aluminium 6061 was selected as the work material. It is one of the most extensively used of the 6000 series aluminium alloys. This standard structural alloy, one of the most versatile of the heattreatable alloys, is popular for medium to high strength requirements and has good toughness characteristics. Applications range from transportation components to machinery and equipment applications to recreation products and consumer durables. It is widely used for producing automotive components by turning process.

It has excellent corrosion resistance to atmospheric conditions and good corrosion resistance to sea water. This alloy also offers good finishing characteristics and responds well to anodizing. Alloy 6061 is easily welded and joined by various commercial methods. For screw machine applications, alloy 6061 has adequate machinability characteristics in the heat-treated condition. The different alloying elements present in this alloy are shown in the Table 2.

Table 2: Workpiece Composition						
Components	% by weight					
Aluminium (Al)	95.9 to 98.6 %					
Magnesium (Mg)	0.8 to 1.2 %					
Silicon (Si)	0.4 to 0.8 %					
Iron (Fe)	0 to 0.7 %					
Copper (Cu)	0.15 to 0.4 %					
Chromium (Cr)	0.04 to 0.35 %					
Zinc (Zn)	0 to 0.25 %					
Manganese (Mn)	0 to 0.15 %					
Residuals	0 to 0.15 %					
Titanium (Ti)	0 to 0.15 %					

Experimental plan

The experimental work was carried out on CNC turning center LMW LL20TL3 using CNMG insert of tool nose radius 0.8 mm. The specifications of the machine are given in Table 3.

Table 3: Machine Specification						
Title	Description	Unit	LL20T L3			
	Swing over bed	mm	510			
	Chuck dia. max.	mm	200			
Capacity	Max turning diameter	mm	320			
	Max. turning length	mm	310			
	Admit between centers	mm	420			
	Spindle nose	type	A2-6			
C	Hole through spindle	mm	61			
Spinale	Spindle speed	rpm	3500			
	Spindle motor power(cont./15min)	kW	7.5/11			
	Cross travel X-axis	mm	185			
Feed system	Longitudinal travel Z-axis	mm	370			
	Rapid traverse rate X/Z-axes	m/min	30 / 30			
	No. of stations	Nos.	8			
Transt	Tool shank size	mm	25×25			
Turret	Maximum boring bar dia.	mm	40			
	Turret indexing	type	Hydraulic			
	Quill dia.	mm	75			
Tailstock	Quill stroke	mm	100			
	Quill taper	-	MT-4			
CNC system	Controller	-	Fanuc			
Mashina sina	Front x Side	mm	2065 X 1925			
iviacnine size	Machine weight (Approx.)	kg	3500			



Fig. 1: CNC Turning- LMW LL20TL3 (Lakshmi Machine Works Limited)

The surface roughness of machined surface has been measured by a Surface Roughness Measuring instrument. The Surtronic 3+ is a portable, self-contained instrument for the measurement of surface texture and is suitable for use in both the workshop and laboratory. Parameters available for surface texture evaluation are: Ra, Rq, Rz (DIN), Ry and Sm. The parameter evaluation and other functions of the instrument are microprocessor based. The measurement results are displayed on LCD screen and can be output to an optional printer or computer for further results.



Fig. 2: Roughness Measuring Instrument(Taylor Hobson Surtronic 3+)

Design of Experiment

Taguchi's parametric design is the effective tool for robust design. It offers a simple and systematic qualitative optimal design to a relatively low cost. Taguchi method of off-line (Engineering) quality control encompasses all stages of product/process development. However the key element for achieving high quality at low cost is Design of Experiments (DOE). In this paper, Taguchi's (DOE) approach is used to analyze the effect of process parameters like cutting speed, feed and depth of cut on Surface Roughness of Aluminium 6061 work material while turning it on a CNC turning centre to obtain an optimal setting of these parameters that may result in good surface finish.

As per Taguchi's method, the selected orthogonal array must be greater than or equal to the total degree of freedom required for the experiment. So, an L27 orthogonal array was selected for the present work. The non-linear relationship among the process parameters can be revealed when more than two levels of the parameters are considered. Hence each selected parameter was analyzed at three levels. The process parameters and their values at three levels are given in Table 4.

ח	· ·	CD	c	· · ·		T 1				• •	- 7) 7	
Pro	naration	AT PI	inore to	nr ini	ornational	Inurnal	Ωt	Maaprn	Hne	nnoorin	σ h	eosoarci	n
10	paranon	0110	ipers ju	// 1/11	ernanonai	Journai	\mathcal{O}	moucin	LIV	2111661111	<u>x</u> 1	leseurer	ı
		./								,			

Table 4: Process parameters with their values at three levels							
Parameters	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3				
Speed (RPM)	1600	1900	2200				
Depth of Cut (mm)	0.25	0.50	0.75				
Feed (mm/rev.)	0.12	0.18	0.24				

Analysis

ANOVA was used for analyzing the results obtained. ANOVA is used in the analysis of comparative experiments, those in which only the difference in outcomes is of interest. The statistical significance of the experiment is determined by a ratio of two variances. This ratio is independent of several possible alterations to the experimental observations. Adding a constant to all observations does not alter significance. Multiplying all observations by a constant does not alter significance. So in ANOVA, statistical significance results are independent of constant bias and scaling errors as well as the units used in expressing observations.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pieces of work material were set so as to conduct turning process three times on a single work piece while calculating the average roughness value, simultaneously by the stylus of the measuring instrument. To more closely replicate typical finish turning processes and to avoid excessive vibrations due to work place dimensional inaccuracies and defects, each work piece was rough-cut just prior to the measured finish cut. Thus simultaneously we could choose the machining zero required for generating cutting profile with reference to our work piece dimensions. On each piece, there were three different values of feed at one depth of cut and at a single speed. Surtronic 3+ instrument, used for surface roughness measurement, has a pick-up with a skid which is used to travel automatically through a drive motor. Thus such travel would at least require a distance of at least 8 mm. Thus we require appropriate surface travel distance on turned aluminum work piece. These dimensions were taken so as to keep travel the stylus on the best surface. The obtained results were analysed using Minitab software and all the values are shown in the Table 5.

Table 5: Input parameters and roughness observations								
S.No.	Speed (RPM)	Doc (mm)	Feed (mm/rev.)	Ra (µm)	Rz (µm)			
1.	1600	0.25	0.12	0.559	4.350			
2.	1600	0.25	0.18	1.516	6.996			
3.	1600	0.25	0.24	1.890	8.310			
4.	1600	0.50	0.12	0.684	4.336			
5.	1600	0.50	0.18	0.957	5.603			
6.	1600	0.50	0.24	1.755	9.786			
7.	1600	0.75	0.12	0.671	4.786			
8.	1600	0.75	0.18	1.137	9.810			
9.	1600	0.75	0.24	3.080	12.900			
10.	1900	0.25	0.12	0.533	3.420			
11.	1900	0.25	0.18	0.773	4.820			
12.	1900	0.25	0.24	2.523	12.000			
13.	1900	0.50	0.12	0.688	4.393			
14.	1900	0.50	0.18	1.090	8.110			
15.	1900	0.50	0.24	2.383	1.560			
16.	1900	0.75	0.12	0.782	4.310			
17.	1900	0.75	0.18	1.493	7.383			
18.	1900	0.75	0.24	1.646	7.916			
19.	2200	0.25	0.12	0.554	3.183			
20.	2200	0.25	0.18	1.448	7.493			
21.	2200	0.25	0.24	2.176	9.083			
22.	2200	0.50	0.12	0.657	4.346			
23.	2200	0.50	0.18	1.793	8.183			
24.	2200	0.50	0.24	2.316	1.370			
25.	2200	0.75	0.12	0.721	5.120			
26.	2200	0.75	0.18	1.445	6.920			
27.	2200	0.75	0.24	1.836	9.500			

The main effects plot for Means and SN Ratios are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 respectively. They show the variation of individual response with three parameters i.e. speed, feed and depth of cut separately. In the plot x-axis represents the value of each process parameter and y-axis is response value. Horizontal line indicates the

mean of the response. The main effect plots are used to determine the optimal design conditions to obtain the optimal surface finish.

It is evident from Fig. 3 that Ra is minimum at the second level of speed (A), first level of depth of cut (B) and first level of feed (C). In Fig. 4, the main effect plot for SN ratios of the Surface roughness is shown. Signal-to-Noise ratio of common interest for optimization for surface roughness is smaller the better.



Fig. 3: Main Effects Plot for Means





In order to quantify the influence of process parameters and interactions on the selected machining characteristic, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed as given in Table 6 and Table 7.

	Table 6: Analysis of Variance for SN Ratios							
Source	DF	Seq SS	Adj SS	Adj MS	F	Р		
S	2	0.20256	0.20256	0.10128	2.11	0.322		
D	2	0.61077	0.61077	0.30539	6.35	0.136		
F	2	3.33902	3.33902	1.66951	34.71	0.028		
Residual Error	2	0.09620	0.09620	0.04810				
Total	8	4.24856						

Estimated Model Coefficients for SN ratios

S = 0.2193 R-Sq = 97.7% R-Sq (adj) = 90.9%

Preparation of Papers for International Journal of Modern Engineering Research

Table 7: Analysis of Variance for Standard Deviations							
Source	DF	Seq SS	Adj SS	Adj MS	F	Р	
S	2	0.3718	0.3718	0.1859	0.95	0.512	
D	2	0.8364	0.8364	0.4182	2.14	0.318	
F	2	10.6115	10.6115	5.3058	27.17	0.036	
Residual Error	2	0.3906	0.3906	0.1953			
Total	8	12.2104					

Estimated Model Coefficients for Standard Deviation

S = 0.4419 R-Sq = 96.8% R-Sq (adj) = 87.2%

It is evident that speed, depth of cut and feed are significant at 95% confidence level and thus affects mean value and variation around the mean value of the Ra. The speed is most significant and thus affects the mean value of the Ra. Next significant factor is depth of cut followed by feed.

The response table for signal noise ratios and for means has been given in Table 8 and Table 9 respectively. The response tables explain that feed is dominant factor followed by depth of cut and speed.

Table 8: Response Table for Signal to Noise RatiosNominal is best (10×Log10(Ybar^2/s^2))							
Level	S	D	F				
1	2.365	2.308	1.901				
2	2.537	2.419	2.371				
3	2.732	2.908	3.362				
Delta	0.367	0.600	1.461				
Rank	3	2	1				

Table 9: Response Table for Means								
Level	S	D	F					
1	4.575	3.627	2.501					
2	3.938	4.084	3.420					
3	4.105	4.906	6.697					
Delta	0.637	1.279	4.196					
Rank	3	2	1					



Fig. 5: Residual Plots for SN Ratios



Fig. 6: Residual Plots for Standard Deviations

The diagnostic checking has been performed through residual analysis for the developed model. The residual plots for SN Ratios and Standard Deviations are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 respectively. These generally fall on a straight line implying that errors are distributed normally. It can be concluded that all the values are within the control range, indicating that there is no obvious pattern and unusual structure and also the residual analysis does not indicate any model indequacy. Hence these values yield better results infuture predictions.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This work presented an experimentation approach to study the impact of machining parameters on surface roughness. A Systematic approach was provided to design and analyze the experiments, and to utilize the data obtained to the maximum extent. The following are conclusions drawn based on the experimental investigation conducted at three levels by employing Taguchi technique to determine the optimal level of process parameters.

- CNC Turning gives better results, as speed and feed can be set at any value within a specified range, according to the requirement, compared to a conventional machine in which only some fixed values can be selected.
- Better results have been obtained in terms of DOE techniques such as Taguchi using MINITAB software.
- Increase in cutting speed decreases the surface roughnessup to a certain extent, but as speed increases beyond a certain limit, the surface roughness increases.
- Increase in feed rate adversely affects the surface finish slightly, but a large increase deteriorates surface finish to a large extent.
- ANOVA and F-test reveals that the speed is dominant parameter followed by depth of cut and feed.
- The optimal combination process parameters for the work piece under consideration with regards to minimum surface roughness or maximum surface finish is obtained at speed 1900 rpm, depth of cut 0.25 mmand feed rate 0.12 mm/rev.

REFERENCES

- [1] Feng C. X. and Wang X., "Development of Empirical Models for Surface Roughness Prediction in Finish Turning", International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, vol. 20, pp. 348-356, 2002
- [2] Gökkayaa H. and Nalbant M., "The Effects of Cutting Tool Geometry and Processing Parameters on the Surface Roughness of AISI 1030 Steel", Materials & Design, vol. 28, pp. 717-721, 2007
- [3] H. K. Dave, L. S. Patel and H. K. Raval, "Effect of Machining Conditions on MRR and Surface Roughness During CNC Turning of Different Materials using Tin Coated Cutting Tools – A Taguchi Approach", International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations, vol. 3, pp. 925-930, 2012
- [4] Harish Kumar, Mohd. Abbas, Aas Mohammad and Hasan Zakir Jafri, "Optimization of Cutting Parameters in CNC Turning", International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, vol. 3, Issue 3, pp. 331-334, 2013
- [5] IlhanAsilturk and Harun Akkus, "Determining the Effect of Cutting Parameters on Surface Roughness in Hard Turning using the Taguchi Method", Measurement, vol. 44, pp. 1697–1704, 2011
- [6] Jakhale Prashant P. and Jadhav B. R., "Optimization of Surface Roughness of Alloy Steel by Changing Operational Parameters and Insert Geometry in the Turning Process", International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Studies, II/ IV, pp. 17-21, 2013
- [7] M. Kaladhar, K. VenkataSubbaiah and Ch. Srinivasa Rao, "Parametric Optimization During Machining of AISI 304 Austenitic Stainless Steel using CVD Coated DURATOMICTM Cutting Insert", International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations, vol. 3, pp. 577–586, 2012
- [8] M. Kaladhar, K. V. Subbaiah, Ch. Srinivasa Rao and K. Narayana Rao, "Application of Taguchi Approach and Utility Concept in Solving the Multi-Objective Problem when Turning AISI 202 Austenitic Stainless Steel", Journal of Engineering and Technology Review, vol. 4, pp. 55-61, 2011
- [9] M. Kaladhar, K. VenkataSubbaiah and Ch. Srinivasa Rao, "Determination of Optimum Process Parameter During Turning of AISI 304 Austenitic Stainless Steels using Taguchi Method and ANOVA", International Journal of Lean Thinking, Volume 3, Issue 1, pp. 1-19, 2012
- [10] M. Kaladhar, K. VenkataSubbaiah, Ch. Srinivasa Rao and K. Narayana Rao, "Optimization of Process Parameters in Turning of AISI202 Austenitic Stainless Steel", ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, vol. 5, No. 9, pp. 79-87, 2010
- [11] M. Nalbant, H. Gokkaya and G. Sur, "Application of Taguchi Method in the Optimization of Cutting Parameters for Surface Roughness in Turning", Materials and Design, vol. 28, pp. 1379–1385, 2007
- [12] N. E. Edwin Paul, P. Marimuthu and R. VenkateshBabu, "Machining Parameter Setting For Facing EN8 Steel with TNMG Insert", American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics, vol. 3(1), pp. 87-92, 2013
- [13] Özel T., Hsu T.K. and Zeren E., "Effects of Cutting Edge Geometry, Workpiece Hardness, Feed Rate and Cutting Speed on Surface Roughness and Forces in Finish Turning of Hardened AISI H13 Steel", International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, vol. 25, pp. 262-269, 2005
- [14] Ranganath M. S., Vipin and R. S. Mishra, "Optimization of Surface Roughness and Material Removal Rate on Conventional Dry Turning of Aluminium (6061)", International Journal of Advance Research and Innovation, vol. 1, pp. 62-71, 2014
- [15] Ranganath M. S., Vipin, Nand Kumar and R. Srivastava, "Surface Finish Monitoring in CNC Turning Using RSM and Taguchi Techniques", International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, vol. 4, Issue 9, pp. 171-179, 2014
- [16] Ranganath M. S., and Vipin, "Experimental Investigation and Parametric Analysis of Surface Roughness in CNC Turning Using Design of Experiments", International Journal of Modern Engineering Research, vol. 4, Issue 9, pp. 1-8, 2014
- [17] Ranganath M. S. and Vipin, "Effect of Rake Angle on Surface Roughness in CNC Turning", International Journal of Advance Research and Innovation, vol. 2, pp. 522-530, 2014
- [18] Upinder Kumar Yadav, Deepak Narang and Pankaj Sharma Attri, "Experimental Investigation and Optimization of Machine Parameters for Surface Roughness in CNC Turning by Taguchi Method", International Journal of Engineering Research and Application, vol. 2, Issue 4, pp. 2060-2065, 2012.
- [19] Ranganath M. S., Vipin, Prateek, Nikhil, Rahul and Nikhil Gaur, "Experimental Investigation and Parametric Studies of Surface Roughness Analysis in CNC Turning", International Journal of Modern Engineering Research, vol. 4, Issue 10, pp. 49-58, 2014
- [20] Ranganath M. S., Vipin, Nand Kumar and Rakesh Kumar, "Experimental Analysis of Surface Roughness in CNC Turning of Aluminium Using Response Surface Methodology", International Journal of Advance Research and Innovation, vol. 3, Issue 1, pp. 45-49, 2015