International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)
www.ijmer.com Vol.2, Issue.1, Jan-Feb 2012 pp-219-223 ISSN: 2249-6645

A MULTI-HOP WIRELESS MBS USING AN ADAPTIVE NETWORK CODE RETRANSMISSION

Yuvaraj.N*, Kalaiselvi.R#

*Final ME (CSE), Sri Shakthi Institute Of Engineering and Technology,Anna University,Coimbatore
#Asst.Profesor(CSE), Sri Shakthi Institute Of Engineering and Technology,Coimbatore

Abstract:

Network coding has recently attracted attention as a substantial improvement to packet retransmission schemes in wireless multicast broadcast services (MBS). In this paper, it is concentrating on increasing the efficiency of bandwidth and reducing the retransmission of packets on wireless multicast broadcast services. In the existing system there are three main schemes are used. ONCR (Opportunistic network coding retransmission), FNCR (Full network coding retransmission), ANCR (Adaptive network coding retransmission).First two scheme are not efficient because, in ONCR it will transmit the packets which are not intent to the receiver. In FNCR, it decreases the bandwidth efficiency because of retransmitting all the packets in addition with the required packets. In order to overcome the above drawbacks, Adaptive network coded schemes are used to improve the bandwidth efficiency in MBS using a combination of opportunistic and full network coded retransmission using this transmission scheme. It can able to apply it for the single-hop wireless broadcast services. In the proposing system, are applying this transmission scheme for the multi-hop wireless broadcast service. It has the advantage of more effective bandwidth usage and reducing the retransmission.

Index terms- **Chromatic number of random graph, Graph coloring, Multicast Broadcast Services(MBS), Full and Opportunistic Network Coded Packet Retransmision.**

INTRODUCTION

Multicast Broadcast Services (MBS) have become essential applications that are greatly considered in the design of all future wireless networks due to the increasing demand of an application that are requested by all receivers located in the coverage area of a wireless access node. In multicast, the receivers are interested in receiving only a subset of the packets transmitted by the access node. In broadcast, the receivers are interested in receiving all the packets transmitted by the access node. Due to the high demand on MBS applications and their high bandwidth requirements, it is very important to develop new techniques that can improve the system bandwidth efficiency in order to satisfy these demands with the quality of service.

To achieve a reliable multicast/broadcast, all the receivers must correctly detect all the information packets they requested from the access node. Since wireless communication channels are lossy in general, the guarantee of packet delivery is achieved through packet retransmission using Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ) or Forward Error Correction (FEC). However, both schemes retransmit lost packets separately, which considerably reduces the number of receivers benefiting from each retransmission. This results in more retransmissions and thus low system bandwidth efficiency.

Since these are not efficiency, bandwidth efficiency improvements achieved by network coding [6], several works aimed to exploit it for packet retransmission, as a substitute to ARQ/HARQ in wireless networks. So in the existing system, they introduced two schemes which focus on improving bandwidth and reducing loss of retransmission. Opportunistic Network Coded Retransmission (ONCR) they combine lost packets of different receivers such that some of them recover one of their missing packets upon correct delivery of this combined packet. Second scheme, Full Network Coded Retransmission (FNCR) was proposed to improve wireless multimedia broadcast.

It has been shown that both ONCR and FNCR schemes achieve a considerable gain in bandwidth efficiency compared to ARQ. Each of these two schemes usually outperforms the other in different receiver, demand and feedback settings [1]. The continuous and rapid change of these settings in wireless networks limits the bandwidth efficiency gains if only one scheme is always employed. To select the scheme for the higher bandwidth efficiency, it uses the proposing scheme.

So a new scheme Adaptive Network Coded Retransmission (ANCR) which is a combination of Opportunistic and Full Network Coded Retransmissions. The proposed scheme adaptively selects, between these two schemes, the one that is expected to achieve the better bandwidth efficiency performance. The core contribution in this Adaptive Selection scheme is focusing on derivation of an ONCR performance metric that achieves efficient selection when compared to an appropriate full network coding. This metric is derived by modeling the ONCR graph representation as a random graph and computing its chromatic number using a famous result from random graph theory [7].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, some related works to our problem are summarized. The single-hop wireless MBS system model and its parameters are illustrated in Section III. In Section IV, it has briefly illustrate the ONCR and FNCR schemes in the general MBS case. Then this paper's main contribution is introduced in Section V by introducing the theoretical foundation and the detailed description of our proposed adaptive scheme.

AN OVERVIEW OF RELATED WORK

A. Network Coding

Since its first introduction in [6], network coding has been a great attraction to several studies as a routing and scheduling scheme that attains maximum information flow in a network. The core of network coding is the idea of packet mixing using several techniques such as packet XOR and linear coding. Both trends have been proposed for a wide range of applications.

B. Index Coding

The index coding includes a sender, a set of receivers, a set of packets and lossless channels between the sender and these receivers. The objective of the index coding problem is to define the packet coding schedule that delivers the requested subsets of packets by each of the receivers with the minimum number of transmissions.

In [2] it has been shown that finding the optimal solution of the index coding problem is NP-hard. Most of these heuristics are different simplifications of suboptimal graph-coloring solution of the index coding problem.

C. Network Coded Retransmission

In [3] and [4], the diversity of received and lost packets at different receivers is broken by using the ONCR scheme as a substitute of ARQ/HARQ, respectively. In [5], a hybrid ARQ-FNCR scheme was proposed for wireless multimedia broadcast. In the concept of network coded retransmissions, minimizing the average packet detection delay and the average sender queue size, respectively, in wireless broadcast.

SYSTEM MODEL AND PARAMETERS

The model consists of a wireless access node, such as a base station which is responsible for delivering multicast or broadcast packets $R=\{R_1, \ldots. R_M\}$ of M receivers. The access node initially transmits a MBS frame consisting $p=\{p_1, \ldots p_N\}$ of N packets. During this phase, each receiver listens to the packets it requested and all correctly received packets are stored in its memory. For each lost packet, each receiver sends a NAK packet to the access node. The access node keeps a table of received and lost packets by all receivers that will refer to as the feedback table. At the end of the initial transmission phase, three sets of packets can be associated with each receiver R_i.

- The Has set (denoted by $\mathbf{H_i}$) is defined as the set of packets correctly received by R_i. This set includes both desired and undesired packets by this receiver.
- The Complementary set (denoted by $\mathbf{C_i}$) is defined as the set of packets that were not correctly received by R_i whether requested or not.
- The Wants set (denoted by $\mathbf{W_i}$) is defined as the set of packets that are both requested and lost by R_i in the initial transmission phase of the current MBS frame.

At the end of the initial transmission phase, a packet retransmission scheme is employed to deliver the lost packets to the receivers that requested them. Afterwards, the whole procedure is re-executed for a new MBS frame.

ONCR AND FNCR SCHEMES

A. ONCR Scheme

The ONCR scheme combines lost packets of different receivers such that some of them recover one of their missing packets upon correct delivery of this combined packet. Each packet combination is performed so as to maximize the number of receivers that directly recover one of their requested and lost packets upon correct reception of this coded packet.

The opportunistic packet coding sequence to minimize the number of retransmissions is equivalent to solving the corresponding index coding problem. Since solving index coding problems is NP-hard, the graph-coloring approximation, proposed in [8], can be used to efficiently implement the ONCR scheme in case of lossless retransmissions.

The graph-coloring implementation of the ONCR scheme starts by generating a graph **G(V,E),**in which each packet j € W_i for every I induces a vertex $V_{i,j}$ in the graph.Two vertices $V_{i,j}$ and $V_{k,l}$ in G are connected if one of the following is true:

- J=l(i.e., vertices represent the same lost pocket from two receivers i and k);
- J € H_k and l € H_i (i.e.,the requested packet of each vertex is in the has set of the receiver that induced the other vertex).

After the construction of the graph, clique partitioning is performed on it. For each clique, a coded packet XORing all the packets are generated and transmitted. Since clique partitioning of a graph is equivalent to the coloring of its complementary graph, the minimum achievable number of re-transmission (T_q) using this technique is equal to

$$T_q=X(G^c)$$

Where $X(G^c)$ is the chromatic number of graph G^c (V,E^c).
After the initial transmission phase, the access node construct a graph G as described, finds a maximal clique in it, and broadcasts an XOR of all the packets represented in its vertices.

Each receiver sends a NAK packet to the access node if it lost this retransmission packet. If there is any loss of packets it will result NAK packets are used by the access node to update the feedback table, which is then used to construct a new graph, and the above mentioned process is re-executed. This process continues until each receiver correctly receives its requested packets.

B. FNCR Scheme

The FNCR scheme has been proposed for packet retransmission to improve wireless multimedia broadcast.

In general, the FNCR scheme combines all the MBS frame packets in each retransmission using linear network coding. Coding coefficients can be either deterministic or selected from a large field such that a large number of coded packets are guaranteed to be linearly independent almost surely. There transmission procedure continues until all receivers get enough packets to decode all packets of the MBS frame.

One drawback of the FNCR scheme for wireless multicast is that it necessitates the delivery of all packets of the MBS frame to all receivers regardless of their needs.

Assuming lossless retransmissions, the number of retransmission packets needed receiver R_i to correctly decoded all the packets is equal to the cardinality of is complimentary set C_i. Consequently, the number of lossless retransmission (T_f) is equal to

$$T_f = \max |C_i| \text{ where } i€R$$

C.ADAPTIVE NETWORK CODED RETRANSMISSION (ANCR) SCHEME

In this section, the aim to design an efficient and adaptive scheme that can adaptively select the network coded retransmission scheme for each wireless MBS frame. This scheme is refered as the ANCR scheme. The ANCR scheme should select the retransmission scheme that is expected to achieve the smaller number of retransmissions according to the system, demand, and feedback parameters. For the broadcast case, it has been proven that the FNCR scheme is optimal.

Therefore, it will be focusing on the multicast case. For each MBS frame, the ANCR scheme selects one of the two schemes by comparing metrics representing the number of retransmissions for each of them. The scheme having the lower metric is selected to be executed for this MBS frame.

PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

To estimate their performance it uses two methods.

Method 1: Estimate their performance through their number of lossless retransmission

$$T_q=X(G^c) \text{ and } T_f = \max |C_i| \text{ where } i€R$$

Since finding the chromatic number of a graph is NP-hard , is need to find an approximation for $X(G^c)$.

METHOD 2: Then extend the lossless ONCR graph representation by including loss pattern information in it, thus generating a lossy ONCR graph model negotiation of G^c. then can estimate the chromatic number of this new graph and compare it to a lossy approximation of the FNCR scheme performance.In both the method the chromatic number of a graph is estimated.

To compare between two methods and decide the complexity level needed to obtain an efficient algorithm, the two approaches are applied to derive π in G^c, then proposing a design for negotiation of G^c and compute its π accordingly.

Approach 1:

In this approach, both the vertices identities and the content of the Has,Complementary and Wants sets of all receivers. This approach consider only the graph vertex set size (V), the system parameters (M,N) and the cardinalities of the different sets that can be extracted from the feedback table. In this approach, the number of retransmissions mostly depends on the cardinalities of the sets and not their contents.

Approach 2:

In this approach, it ignores these cardinalities in addition to the vertices identities. Consequently, this approach considers only the vertex set size V, the system parameters (M,N) and the parameters of the packet request- loss random process. But these approaches are not suite for practices.

Approach 3:

In the previous two approaches, it ignores retransmission packet losses that might occur at different receivers when estimating the ONCR and FNCR performances. In this approach, it aim to consider these loss possibilities in the estimation model to test whether this achieves a better performance than the previous two approaches. Since we don't know the loss realization that will occur during the retransmission phase at the selection time, we will assume that an average number of loss events will occur at each of the receivers.

Then comparing the performance of our three proposed ANCR approaches to both ONCR and FNCR schemes for different number of receivers and demand ratios. As a comparison reference, it defines the optimal selection scheme (denoted as OPT in the figure) as the one that always employs the network coded retransmission scheme that achieves the smaller number of retransmissions.

Performance Result:

For Approaches 1-3, the average and standard deviation of bandwidth efficiency achieved by the ONCR, FNCR, optimal selection, and ANCR schemes against the number of receivers M for N=30 and μ= 0.4. The Metric employed to evaluate different value of this term is the Selection Success Probability. ANCR Scheme succeeds in selecting with lower number of retransmission, divided by the total number of trials.



Fig.1. Selection success probablity Multi-hop vs Single hop ANCR



Fig.2. Average bandwidth efficiency Multi-hop vs Single hop ANCR



Fig.3. Standard deviation of bandwidth efficiency Multi-hop vs Single hop ANCR

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, it has designed an adaptive scheme for packet retransmissions to improve the bandwidth efficiency in wireless MBS using a combination of opportunistic and full network coding. The proposed scheme selects, between these two schemes, the one that is expected to achieve the better bandwidth efficiency performance. To compare between different complexity levels, we presented three selection approaches. In the first two approaches, we derived ONCR performance metrics by modeling its lossless graph representation by a random graph, then using random graph theory. These metrics are then compared to the lossless FNCR performance expression in order to perform scheme selection.

To test the effect of loss patterns on our proposed a third approach in which we first designed a new lossy ONCR graph representation by incorporating an average level of packet losses inside the graph. We then derived a lossy ONCR performance metric that is compared to a lossy approximation of the FNCR performance to perform scheme. For the three considered approaches, simulation results showed that our proposed scheme almost achieves the bandwidth efficiency performance that could be obtained by the optimal selection between the ONCR and FNCR schemes.

REFERENCES

[1] S.Sorour and S.Valaee, "Adaptive network coded retransmission Scheme for wireless multicast," in *Proc. IEEE ISIT*, Jun. 2009, pp.2577–2581.

[2] S. El Rouayheb, M. Chaudhry, and A. Sprintson, "On the minimum Number of transmissions in single-hop wireless coding networks," in*Proc. IEEE ITW*, Sep. 2007, pp. 120–125.

[3] D. Nguyen, T. Tran, T. Nguyen, and B. Bose, "Wireless broadcasting using network coding," in *Proc. 3rd NetCod*, Jan. 2007, pp. 1–6.

[4] T. Tran, T. Nguyen, and B. Bose, "A joint network-channel coding technique for single-hop wireless networks," in *Proc. 4th NetCod*, Jan.2008, pp. 1–6.

[5] D. Nguyen, T. Tran, T. Nguyen, and B. Bose, "Hybrid ARQ-random network coding for wireless media streaming," in *Proc. 2nd ICCE*, Jun.2008, pp. 115–120.

[6] R. Ahlswede, N. Cai, S.-Y. Li, and R. Yeung, "Network information flow," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 1204–1216, Jul. 2000.

[7] B. Bollobas, "The chromatic number of random graphs," *Combinatorica* vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 49–55, Mar. 1988.

[8] M. Chaudhry and A. Sprintson, "Efficient algorithms for index coding," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, April 2008, pp. 1–4.

[9] S. Katti, H. Rahul, W. Hu, D. Katabi, M. Médard, and J. Crowcroft, "XORs in the air: Practical wireless network coding," in *Proc. ACMSIGCOMM*, 2006, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 243–254.

[10] J. Sundararajan, D. Shah, and M. Médard, "ARQ for network coding,"in *Proc. IEEE ISIT*, Jul. 2008, pp. 1651–1655.

[11] A. Yazdi, S. Sorour, S. Valaee, and R. Kim, "Optimum network coding for delay sensitive applications in wimax unicast," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Apr. 2009, pp. 2576–2580.

[12] A. Eryilmaz, A. Ozdaglar, M. Médard, and E. Ahmed, "On the delay and throughput gains of coding in unreliable networks," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 5511–5524, Dec. 2008.

[13] D. S. Lun, M. Médard, R. Koetter, and M. Effros, "On coding for reliable communication over packet networks," *Phys. Commun.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 3–20, Mar. 2008.